Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
Instituto de Matemática

The Generalized Gauss Map
and
Applications

Jaime Bruck Ripoll
Marcos Sebatiani

The Generalized Gauss Map and Applications

Jaime B. Ripoll   Marcos Sebastiani

0. Introduction.

In his work on the theory of surfaces Gauss introduced what is called today the (normal) Gauss map of an orientable hypersurface in Euclidean space E^{n+1}. Formerly, the Gauss map was used to compute the intrinsic curvature of a surface of E^3. However, this map became one of the most important tools in Euclidean Geometry, being used to prove results in many of its different branches.

The Gauss map in E^{n+1} is determined by the (linear) translations of E^{n+1}. Since translations make sense in a parallelisable manifold (see §1), it is possible to define a similar map in a Riemannian parallelisable manifold. Our aim here is first to make this construction, defining a Gauss map for hypersurfaces in a Riemannian parallelisable manifold and studying its general properties. We then apply these results generalizing some classical results on Differential Geometry. In particular, we obtain a generalization of the Gauss-Bonnet Formula and a generalization of a result of R. Langevin about curvature and complex singularities. We also obtain an application to the study of convexity of hypersurfaces. We also consider here the case of immersed manifolds of arbitrary codimension.

Translations in a Riemannian manifold can be obtained, for instance, by fixing a point of the space and taking the parallel translation of the tangent vectors at that point along geodesics. Other natural examples can also be obtained from families of concentric geodesic spheres. Translations also appear in a Lie group with an invariant metric by taking invariant vector fields.

1

I. General definitions and results.

We recall that a $(n+1)$-dimensional differentiable manifold N is called parallelisable if its tangent bundle is trivial, that is, if there exists a differentiable map $\Gamma : TN \longrightarrow N \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ which makes commutative the diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
TN & \overset{\Gamma}{\longrightarrow} & N \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \\
\pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\
N & \overset{I}{\longrightarrow} & N
\end{array}
$$

and such that, given $p \in N$, the map $\Gamma_p := \Gamma(p,.) : T_p(N) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is an isomorphism between vector spaces. π_1 and π_2 are the usual projections and I the identity map on N.

The folowing notations and definitions will be used through part I: N will be a $(n+1)$-*dimensional Riemannian parallelisable manifold* together with a map $\Gamma : TN \longrightarrow N \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ as above and such that $\Gamma_p : T_p(N) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ *is an isometry*, for any $p \in N$, considering in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ the usual Euclidean inner product. Γ will be called a *translation* in N.

Given $p \in N$ and $X \in T_p(N)$, we define a vector field $\tilde{X}$ in N by setting $\tilde{X}(q) := \Gamma_q^{-1}(\Gamma_p(X))$. Such vector fields will be called *invariant* (or Γ-*invariant*) vector fields.

M will be an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold, $m < n$, isometrically immersed in N. Denote by $N(M)$ the normal bundle of M and by $SN(M)$ the correspondent sphere bundle. Let $p \in M$.

1. The Invariant (or Γ-invariant) Second Fundamental Form.

We first recall that the Second Fundamental Form B of M is given by

$$
B_p(\eta)(X,Y) = < \nabla_X \overline{Y}, \eta >
$$

where $< , >$ is the Riemannian metric of N and ∇ its associated connection, $X, Y \in T_p(M)$, $\eta \in N_p(M)$ and $\overline{Y}$ any extension of Y tangent to M. By

2

setting $A_p(\eta) : T_p(M) \longrightarrow T_p(M)$, $A_p(\eta)(X) = -(\nabla_X \eta)^P$, where $(\ )^P$ denotes the orthogonal projection on $T_p(M)$ we have $B_p(\eta)(X,Y) = \ <A_p(\eta)(X), Y>$.

The *Invariant* (or Γ-*invariant*) Second Fundamental Form $\tilde{B}$ of M is given by

$$\tilde{B}_p(\eta)(X,Y) = \ <\nabla_X \tilde{Y}, \eta>$$

where $\tilde{Y}$ is the invariant vector field such that $\tilde{Y}(p) = Y$. By setting $\tilde{A}_p(\eta) : T_p(M) \longrightarrow T_p(M)$, $\tilde{A}_p(\eta)(X) = -(\nabla_X \tilde{\eta})^P$, where $\tilde{\eta}$ is the invariant vector field such that $\tilde{\eta}(p) = \eta$, we obtain

$$\tilde{B}_p(X,Y) = \ <\tilde{A}_p(\eta)(X), Y>.$$

Let us define $\tilde{K}_p(\eta) = \det(A_p(\eta) - \tilde{A}_p(\eta))$. Assuming that the $N(M)$ is orientable, we define

$$\tilde{K}_p = \frac{1}{c_{k-1}} \int_{SN_p(M)} \tilde{K}_p(\eta) d\sigma(\eta)$$

where $d\sigma$ is the volume form of $SN_p(M)$ and c_{k-1} the volume of the $(k-1)$-dimensional unit sphere, $k = n - m$.

This definition is completely similar to the usual definition of Lipschitz-Killing curvature for immersed Riemannian manifolds (see [L1]). $\tilde{K}$ will be called the *invariant curvature* of M.

2. The "Gauss" map determined by a translation.

We define

$$\gamma : SN(M) \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$$

by

$$\gamma(\eta) = \Gamma_p(\eta)$$

where S^{n-1} is the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^n$. We have $d\gamma(\eta) : T_\eta(SN(M)) \longrightarrow T_{\gamma(\eta)}(S^{n-1})$.

The canonical projection of $SN(M)$ induces a surjective linear map $T_\eta(SN(M)) \longrightarrow T_p(M)$.

3

3. Theorem.

$$< \Gamma_p^{-1}(d\gamma(\eta)(\hat{X})), Y >= -B_p(\eta)(X,Y) + \tilde{B}_p(\eta)(X,Y)$$

where $\eta \in SN_p(M)$, X, $Y \in T_p(M)$ *and* $\hat{X}$ *is any lift of* X *to* $T_\eta(SN(M))$.

Proof.

We observe that any two lifts of X differ by a vector $Z \in T_\eta(SN(M))$. We see that $\Gamma_p^{-1}(d\gamma(\eta)(Z))$ is orthogonal to $T_p(M)$, so that the first member of the above equality independs on the lift $\hat{X}$ of X.

We choose a lift of X in the following way. Let $\eta \in T_p(M)$ and let $f : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \longrightarrow M$ such that $f(0) = p$ and $f'(0) = X$. Let η_t be the parallel transport of η along f. Then define $\hat{X} := D\eta_t/dt(0)$, where D/dt denotes the Covariant Derivative. Therefore, we have

$$\Gamma_p^{-1}(d\gamma(\eta)(\hat{X})) = \frac{D}{dt}(\Gamma_p^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{f(t)})(\eta_t))(0).$$

Let $X_1, ..., X_m$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p(M)$ and set $X_{m+1} = \eta$. We extend this basis to a orthonormal basis $X_1, ..., X_m, ..., X_n$ of $T_p(N)$. Let $\tilde{X}_j$ be the invariant vector field such that $\tilde{X}_j(p) = X_j$ and let $X_j(t)$ the parallel transport of X_j along f $(1 \le j \le n)$. Then

$$\eta_t = c_1(t)X_1(t) + ... + c_m(t)X_{m+1}(t)$$

with

$$c_1(0) = ... = c_m(0) = 0, \quad c_{m+1}(0) = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\Gamma_p^{-1}(d\gamma(\eta)(\hat{X})) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} c_j'(0)X_j + \frac{D}{dt}(\Gamma_p^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{f(t)})(X_{m+1}(t))(0)$$

thus

$$< \Gamma_p^{-1}(d\gamma(\eta)(\hat{X})), Y >= \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} c_j'(0) < X_j, Y > + \frac{D}{dt}(< (\Gamma_p^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{f(t)})(X_{m+1}(t))(0), Y >)$$

4

But $A_p(\eta)(X,Y) = -\frac{D\eta_t}{dt}(0) = -\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} c_j'(0)X_j$, so that

$$-B_p(\eta)(X,Y) = <A_p(\eta)(X), Y> = -\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j'(0) <X_j, Y>.$$

By another hand, we can write

$$\tilde{X}_{m+1}(f(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j(t)X_j(t), \quad b_j(0) = \delta_{j,m+1}$$

Applying $\Gamma_p^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{f(t)}$ in both sides of this equality, we obtain

$$X_{m+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j(t)(\Gamma_p^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{f(t)})(X_j(t))$$

Taking the derivative

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j'(0)X_j + \frac{D}{dt}(\Gamma_p^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{f(t)})(X_{m+1}(t))(0)$$

so that

$$\tilde{A}_p(\eta)(X) = -\frac{D\tilde{X}_{m+1}(f(t))}{dt}(0) = -\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j'(0)X_j$$

Therefore,

$$<\frac{D}{dt}(\Gamma_p^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{f(t)})(X_{m+1}(t))(0), Y> = <\tilde{A}_p(X), Y> = \tilde{B}_p(\eta)(X,Y)$$

and the theorem results from these formulae.

4. Corollary. *Assume that M and N are orientable and m even. Let dv be the volume form of S^{n-1} and $d\mu$ the volume form of M. Then, $\tilde{K}_p d\mu(p)$, $p \in M$, is the integral along the fibres (see [3]) of $SN(M) \longrightarrow M$ of $\gamma^*(dv)$ divided by c_{k-1}.*

Proof.

We have the following diagram, exact and commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T_\eta(SN_p(M)) & \longrightarrow & T_\eta(SN(M)) & \longrightarrow & T_p(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow q & & \downarrow d\gamma(\eta) & & \downarrow -A_p(\eta)+\tilde{A}_p(\eta) & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\gamma(\eta)}(S^{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & T_{\gamma(\eta)}(S^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & T_p(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & & & & & P \circ \Gamma_p^{-1} & &
\end{array}
$$

5

The second rectangle is comuttative, according to Theorem 3.1 and §2, and q is an isomorphism preserving the metric. We recall that P is the orthogonal projection.

Let $Z_1, ..., Z_{n-1}$ be a basis of $T_\eta(SN(M))$ such that $Z_1, ..., Z_{k-1}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_\eta(SN_p(M))$ and the image $Z'_k, ..., Z'_{n-1}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p(M)$. Then, there exists an orthonormal basis $q(Z_1), ..., q(Z_{k-1}), Y_k, ..., Y_{n-1}$ of $T_{\gamma(\eta)}(S^{n-1})$ such that $(P \circ \Gamma_p^{-1})(Y_j) = Z'_j$, $k \le j \le n-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma^*(dv(\eta))(Z_1, ..., Z_{n-1}) &= \det(-A_p(\eta) + \hat{A}_p(\eta)) \\
&= \det(-B_p(\eta) + \tilde{B}_p(\eta)) \\
&= \tilde{K}_p(\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

since m is even.

We conclude that the integral of $\gamma^*(dv)$ on the fibres of $SN(M) \longrightarrow M$ is a form λ such that

$$
\lambda(p)(Z'_k, ..., Z'_{n-1}) = \int_{SN_p(M)} \tilde{K}_p(\eta) d\gamma(\eta)
$$

Hence, $\lambda = c_{k-1} \tilde{K}_p d\mu(p)$.

II. Applications.

1. The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem.

We obtain here a generalisation of the well known Gauss-Bonnet formula. This generalisation depends on the following result:

1.1 Lemma. *Let M, N be differentiable manifolds with boundary, compact, oriented, with the same dimension, N connected. Let $f : (M, \partial M) \longrightarrow (N, \partial N)$ be a continuous map which admitts a lift to a morphism of oriented vector bundles $T(M) \longrightarrow T(N)$ preserving the direction pointing outwards*

6

the boundary. Then, the degree of the map $f|_{\partial M} : \partial M \longrightarrow \partial N$ *is equal to* $\chi(M)/\chi(N)$.

Proof.

Let c_M and c_N be the respective obstructions to extend to the manifold a vector field without critical points pointing outwards at the boundary. Then, $f^*(c_N) = c_M$. By another hand,

$$(c_M, [M, \partial M]) = \chi(M) \quad \text{and} \quad (c_N, [N, \partial N]) = \chi(N)$$

(where the bracket means fundamental class in homology and (,) the duality between homology and cohomology), as we can see by taking a Morse function with is constant at the boundary. Therefore

$$\chi(M) = (f^*(c_N), [M, \partial M]) = (c_N, f_*[M, \partial M]).$$

Since N is connected, $f_*([M, \partial M]) = m[N, \partial N]$, $m \in Z$. Then

$$\chi(M) = (c_N, f_*[M, \partial M]) = m\chi(N)$$

and $m = \chi(M)/\chi(N)$. But $f_*([M, \partial M]) = m[N, \partial N]$ implies $f_*[\partial M] = m[\partial N]$, and the lemma results from this.

1.2 Theorem. *With the same hypothesis and notations of Corollary I.5, and assuming M compact, we have*

$$\int_M \tilde{K}_p d\mu(p) = \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_{k-1}}\chi(M).$$

Proof.

From Fubini's Theorem and Corollary I.4, we have

$$\int_M \tilde{K}_p d\mu(p) = \frac{1}{c_{k-1}} \int_{SN(M)} \gamma^*(dv) = \frac{deg(\gamma)}{c_{k-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} dv = \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_{k-1}} deg(\gamma).$$

In order to compute $deg(\gamma)$, we observe that γ can be extended to a map

$$\gamma : BN(M) \longrightarrow B^n$$

where $BN(M)$ is the bundle of balls of $N(M)$ and B^n is the unit ball on $\mathbf{R}^n$. We have an isomorphism

$$T(BN(M)) \simeq \pi^\star(T(M)) \oplus \pi^\star(N(M))$$

where $\pi : BN(M) \longrightarrow M$ is the canonical projection.

We define a morphism above γ

$$\pi^\star(T(M)) \oplus \pi^\star(N(M)) \longrightarrow T(B^n) = B^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$$

by

$$(X, Y) \longmapsto (\Gamma_p(\eta), \Gamma_p(X + Y))$$

where $p \in M$, $\eta \in BN_p(M)$, $X \in T_p(M)$ and $Y \in N_p(M)$.

Therefore, one can apply Lemma 4.1 to obtain

$$deg(\gamma) = \chi(BN(M))/\chi(B^n) = \chi(M)$$

and this proves the theorem.

2. Invariant curvature and complex singularities.

We assume in this section that N is a $(n+1)$-dimensional complex manifold with an hermitian metric $< , >_c$. Similarly to the real case, a complex translation is determined by a map $\Gamma^c : TN \longrightarrow N \times C^{n+1}$ which makes commutative the corresponding complex diagram of p. 2 and such that, given $p \in N$, $\Gamma_p^c : T_p(N) \longrightarrow C^{n+1}$ is an isomorphism between hermitian vector spaces. Let us denote by CP^n the complex projective space of complex lines of C^{n+1}.

Let M be a complex submanifold of N. Then, the Gauss map $\gamma_c : M \longrightarrow CP^n$ of M determined by Γ^c is defined by

$$\gamma_c(p) = \Gamma_p(T_p(M)^\perp).$$

8

Let $f : N \longrightarrow C$ be an analytic map with an isolated singularity at $p_0 \in N$ such that $f(p_0) = 0$. Given $H \in CP^n$, H determines a polar curve P_H by the condition:

$$p \in P_H \Longleftrightarrow T_p(f^{-1}(t)) = (\Gamma_p^c)^{-1}(H)^\perp$$

where $t \in C$ is such that $f(p) = t$.

We can define from $<\,,\,>_c$ a Riemannian metric $<\,,\,>$ in N by setting $<\,,\,> := re(<\,,\,>_c)$. The complex translation Γ^c determines a real translation Γ by setting $\Gamma := \Gamma^c + i\Gamma^c$ ($i^2 = -1$).

We prove here the following generalisation of the Theorem of [L2]:

2.1 Theorem. *Let $f : N \longrightarrow C$ be an analytic map with an isolated singularity at p_0 with $f(p_0) = 0$. Then, the following formula holds:*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{M_t \cap B_\epsilon} \tilde{K}_p \omega(p) = c_n(\mu^{n+1} - \mu^n)$$

where $M_t = f^{-1}(t)$, B_ϵ is the geodesic ball centered at p_0 with radius ϵ, $\tilde{K}$ is the invariant curvature of M_t determined by Γ (see I.1), ω the volume form of M_t in the induced metric, μ^{n+1} the Milnor number of f at p_0, and μ^n the Milnor number of f restricted to a generic complex codimension 1 submanifold of N through p_0 at p_0.

Proof.

Let $\gamma : SN(M_t) \longrightarrow S^{2n}$ be the Gauss map of M_t determined by Γ (see I.1) and $\gamma_c : M_t \longrightarrow CP^n$ the Gauss map determined by Γ^c. It is not difficult to prove that the following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
SN(M)_t & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & S^{2n} \\
P \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
M_t & \xrightarrow{\gamma_c} & CP^n
\end{array}
$$

9

where π is the projection of the Hopf fibration. Hence

$$\int_{M_t \cap B_\epsilon} \tilde{K}_p \omega(p) = \frac{1}{c_2} \int_{SN(M_t \cap B_\epsilon)} \gamma^*(\sigma) = \frac{1}{c_2} \int_{SN(M_t \cap B_\epsilon)} \gamma_c^*(\lambda)$$

where λ is the volume form of CP^n.

We prove now that the last integral above is the intersection index $I(P_H, M_0)$ between M_0 and the polar curve P_H determined by a generic complex hyperplane H of C^{n+1}. The Theorem follows then from a result of Teissier ([?]).

As in the Lemma of [L2], and according to the notations of [L2], one has:

$$\int_{M_t \cap B_\epsilon} \gamma_c^*(\lambda) = \int_{CP^n} \tau(M_t \cap B_\epsilon, H) \lambda(H^\perp)$$

where

$$\tau(M_t \cap B_\epsilon, H) = \sum_{p \in B_\epsilon} I(M_t, P_H)_p$$

so that in order to compute the limit for $t \to 0$ and $\epsilon \to 0$ as in [L2] we have just to assure that the function $t \longrightarrow \tau(M_t \cap B_\epsilon, H)$ is bounded.

Clearly, $\tau(M_t \cap B_\epsilon, H)$ is finite for $t \neq 0$ and, since t goes to 0, we just have to prove that $\lim_{t \to 0} \tau(M_t \cap B_\epsilon, H)$ is finite. To do this, let us consider the Nash Transformation $N_f \subset B_\epsilon \times CP^n$ of f restricted to B_ϵ. Let $\pi : N_f \longrightarrow B_\epsilon$ and $\gamma : N_f \longrightarrow CP^{n-1}$ be the projections $(x, H) \longrightarrow x$ and $(x, H) \longrightarrow H^\perp$, respectively. Therefore, it is easy to see that

$$\tau(M_t \cap B_\epsilon, H) = \text{card}(\pi_{-1}(M_t) \cap \gamma^{-1}(H^\perp)) \quad t \neq 0$$

so that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \tau(M_t \cap B_\epsilon, H) = \text{card}(\pi^{-1}(M_0) \cap \gamma^{-1}(H^\perp)) .$$

$$\pi(\pi^{-1}(M_0 \cap \gamma^{-1}(H^\perp)) = \{p \in M_0 \cap B_\epsilon | p \neq 0 \text{ and } T_p(M_0) = H\} \cup \{0\}$$

is analytic and compact and hence finite.

It follows that $\pi^{-1}(M_0) \cap \gamma^{-1}(H^\perp)$ is finite since

$$\pi : \pi^{-1}(M_0) \cap \gamma^{-1}(H^\perp) \longrightarrow \pi(\pi^{-1}(M_0) \cap \gamma^{-1}(H^\perp))$$

10

is bijetive. ◊

In [L] Langevin reobtains a result due to Linda Ness about the curvature of algebraic curves of CP^2 converging to an algebraic curve with an isolated singularity (see Theorem in §III of [L]). We obtain here the following generalization:

2.2 Corollary. *Let N be a complex n-dimensional manifold with an hermitian metric. Let M be a complex hypersurface of N with an isolated singularity at p. Let M_t be a family of complex hypersurfaces of N converging to M as t goes to infinity. Then*

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf K_I = -\infty$$

where K_I denotes the intrinsic sectional curvature of M_t.
Proof.

Let $p_t \in M_t$ be such that $\lim p_t = p_0$. Then, it follows from Theorem 2.1 and from the definition of $\tilde{K}$ that

$$\lim t \to \infty \lambda_{p_t} = \infty$$

where $\lambda_t = \max\{\lambda \mid \lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $A_{p_t}(\eta)$ for some $\eta \in T_{p_t}(M_t)^\perp$, $\|\eta\| = 1$ }. If X_t is an eigenvector associated to λ_t then $-\lambda_t$ is an eigenvalue with eigenvector iX_t.

Denote by $K(P_t)$ and $\overline{K}(P_t)$ the sectional curvatures of M_t and N, respectively, at p_t, determined by the plane P_t generated by X_t and iX_t. Without loss of generality, we may assume that P_t converges to P as t tends to infinity. Then, from the Gauss Equation of an isometric immersion, one has

$$K(P_t) = \overline{K}(P_t) - \lambda_t^2$$

hence

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} K(P_t) = \overline{K}(P) - \lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda_t^2 = -\infty.$$

11

3. Convexity of hypersurfaces.

A classical result on Differential Geometry, known as Hadamard Theorem, establishes that a compact hypersurface of an Euclidean space whose Gauss-Kronecker curvature (as it is called the Lipschitz-Killing curvature in codimension 1) is everywhere positive is a convex hypersurface. In particular, the hypersurface is diffeomorphic to a sphere. This result is not true for hypersurfaces in an arbitrary Riemannian manifold. A simple counter-example is the natural isometric embedding of the Riemannian product $S^2 \times S^2$ in S^5. As we remark below, if we consider the curvature $\tilde{K}$ instead of the usual Gauss-Kronecker curvature, Hadamard Theorem remains partially true. To see this, let us consider again a real differentiable $(n+1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold N and let M be a hypersurface of N. Let Γ be a translation in N.

In this case, the Gauss map γ of M can be considered as a map from M to the sphere S^n of same dimension. By this identification, we have $\Gamma_p^{-1} \circ d\gamma(p) : T_p(M) \longrightarrow T_p(M)$ and $\tilde{K}_p = det(A_p(\eta) - \tilde{A}_p(\eta)) = det(\Gamma_p^{-1} \circ d\gamma(p))$. Therefore, if the invariant curvature $\tilde{K}$ is everywhere different from zero then γ is a local diffeomorphism. Hence, if M is compact and $\tilde{K} \neq 0$ everywhere, γ is a covering map and, since it goes into the sphere which is simply connected, γ is a global diffeomorphism. In particular, M is diffeomorphic to a sphere. We have proved:

3.1 Proposition. *Let M be an immersed compact hypersurface of N such that $\tilde{K} \neq 0$ everywhere. Then M is diffeomorphic to a sphere.*

In the Euclidean spaces, the fact that the Gauss map is a diffemorphism implies that the hypersurface is embedded. This is not true in the general situation of this paper. A counter-example is given in the remark after Theorem 9 of [R2].

In [E], J. Eschenburg introduced a stronger condition than the positiveness of the Gauss-Kronecker curvature for a hypersurface in a Riemannian manifold: a hypersurface M of a Riemannian manifold N is called ε-convex if all of its principal curvatures have the same sign and absolute value greater than ε. If a hypersurface is ε-convex for some $\varepsilon > 0$ then its Gauss-Kronecker curvature is necessarily positive (perhaps after a reorientation of M). The converse is clearly false (the same example above). With this notion, Eschenburg proves: *a compact ε-convex hypersurface, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, of a Riemannian space with non negative sectional curvature is the boundary of an immersed disk in the space. In particular, the hypersurface is diffeomorphic to a sphere.* As is pointed out in [E], this result is false in a Riemmanian space with negative curvature. Counter-examples are given by the boundary of tubular neighbourhoods around closed geodesics. Using Proposition 3.1, we prove here the following result. We first introduce some notations.

As before, let N be a Riemannian $(n+1)$-dimensional manifold and $\Gamma : TN \longrightarrow N \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ a translation in N. Given $p \in N$, denote by O the set of orthonormal basis of $T_p(N)$. Given an orthonormal basis $\beta = \{\eta, X_1, ..., X_n\}$ of $T_p(N)$, denote by U the $(n \times n)$-matrix $(a_{kl}) = (< \nabla_{X_k} \tilde{\eta}, X_l >$. Given $1 \le i_1 < ...i_j \le n$, we denote by $\Gamma_{i_1,...,i_j}(p, \beta)$ the determinant of the submatrix $U_{i_1,...,i_j}$ of U obtained from U by taking out the i_k^{th} row and the i_k^{th} column, $1 \le k \le j$, of U. Set

$$\Gamma_{i_1,...,i_j}(p) := \max\{\Gamma_{i_1,...,i_j}(p, \beta) \mid \beta \in O\}$$

and denote

$$\Gamma_{i_1,...,i_j} = \sup\{\Gamma_{i_1,...,i_j}(p) \mid p \in N\}.$$

We have

3.2 Theorem. *Let M be a compact hypersurface of N. Assume that*

13

the principal curvatures $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ of M satisfy

$$\lambda_{i_1} \cdot ... \cdot \lambda_{i_j} > C \cdot \Gamma_{i_1,...,i_j}$$

for any choice of $1 \leq i_1 < ... < i_j \leq n$, where $C := \binom{n}{1} + ... + \binom{n}{n}$. Then M is diffeomorphic to a sphere. In particular, if M is a ε-convex with $\varepsilon^j > C \cdot \Gamma_{i_1,...,i_j}$ for any choice of $1 \leq i_1 < ... < i_j \leq n$, then M is diffeomorphic to a sphere.

Proof.

Diagonalising the matrix of $A_p(\eta)$ and using elementary Linear Algebra, we see that $\tilde{K}(p) = \det(A_p(\eta) - \tilde{A}_p(\eta))$ is positive for any $p \in M$. The Theorem results then from Proposition 3.1. $\Diamond$

An extension of Hadamard Theorem for immersions of arbitrary codimension is obtained in [R1]. By using Theorem II 1.2 for the case of Lie groups, one can obtain the following:

Proposition 3.3. *Let M be a compact, connected, oriented surface immersed in a Lie Group G with a bi-invariant metric. Assume that the Lipschitz-Killing curvature of M is everywhere positive. Then M is diffeomorphic to S^2.*

Proof. Let us consider the curvature $\tilde{K}$ of M determined by the left translation in G. Since the metric is bi-invariant, we have $\nabla_X Y = (1/2)[X,Y]$, where $[\ ,\ ]$ denotes the Lie bracket and X and Y are left invariant vector fields. It follows that the invariant second fundamental form $\tilde{B}$ is skew-symmetric. Since $\dim(M) = 2$, we have

$$\tilde{K}_p(\eta) \geq K_p(\eta) > 0$$

Hence by Theorem II 1.2, $\chi(M) > 0$ and M is diffeomorphic to a sphere.

The Gauss map and invariant second fundamental form associated to a left translation in a Lie group are studied in [R2] for the case of hypersurfaces.

REFERENCES

[E] Eschenburg, J.: *Local convexity and nonnegative curvature: Gromov's proof of the sphere theorem*, Invent. Math., 507-522, 1986

[L1] Langevin, R.: *Courbure, feuilletages et surfaces*, Thèse Université de Paris Sud, 1980

[L2] ″   :*Courbure et singularités complexes*, Commentari Math. Helvetici 54, 6 - 16, 1979

[R1] Ripoll, J.: *Characterizations of compact ε-convex immersions*, pre-print

[R2] ″   :*On hypersurfaces of Lie groups*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, V. 34, N. 1, 47 - 56, 1991

[S] Sebastiani, M. Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática, 1, 45-47, 1970

Jaime Ripoll and Marcos Sebastiani
Universidade Federal do R. G. do Sul
Instituto de Matemática
Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500
91500 - Porto Alegre - RS
BRAZIL

Publicações do Instituto de Matemática da UFRGS
Cadernos de Matemática e Estatística

Série A: Trabalho de Pesquisa

1. Marcos Sebastiani - Transformation des Singularités - MAR/89.

2. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - On a Theoremof R. Langevin About Curvature and Complex Singularities - MAR/89.

3. Eduardo Cisneros, Miguel Ferrero e Maria Inés Gonzales - Prime Ideals of Skew Polynomial Rings and Skew Laurent Polynomial Rings - ABR/89.

4. Oclide José Dotto - ϵ - Dilations - JUN/89.

5. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - A Characterization of Helicoids - JUN/89.

6. Mark Thompson, V. B. Moscatelli - Asymptotic Distribution of Liusternik-Schnirelman Eigenvalues for Elliptic Nonlinear Operators -JUL/89.

7. Mark Thompson - The Formula of Weyl for Regions with a Self- Similar Fractal Boundary - JUL/89.

8. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - A Note on Compact Surfaces with Non Zero Constant Mean Curvature - OUT/89.

9. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - Compact ϵ - Convex Hypersurfaces - NOV/89.

10. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel - Coeficientes de Correlação Tipo -Contingência - JAN/90.

11. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel - The Probability of Ocurrence of Heywood Cases - JAN/90.

12. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel - Heywood Cases in Unrestricted Factor Analysis - JAN/90.

13. Julio Cesar R. Claeyssen, Tereza Tsukazan - Dynamical Solutions of Linear Matrix Differential Equations - JAN/90.

14. Maria T. Albanese - Behaviour of the Likelihood Function in Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91.

15. Maria T. Albanese - Measurement of the Latent Variable in Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91.

16. Maria T. Albanese - Adequacy of the Asymptotic Variance-Covariance Matrix using Bootstrap and Jackknife Techniques in Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91.

17. Maria T. Albanese - Latent Variable Models for Binary Response - ABR/91.

18. Mark Thompson - Kinematic Dynamo in Random Flows - DEZ/90.

19. Jaime Bruck Ripoll, Marcos Sebastiani - The Generalized Map and Applications - AGO/91.

20. Jaime Bruck Ripoll, Suzana Fornari, Katia Frensel - Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature in the Complex Hyperbolic Space - AGO/91.