A355
Development of an ICU-specific questionnaire for patient-reported outcome measures

J.A. Malmgren[1], S. Lundin[1], K. Torén[2], M. Eckerström[2], A. Wallin[2], A.-C. Waldenström[2], for the Section on Ethics of the ESICM
[1]Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Dep. of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Gothenburg, Sweden; [2]University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
Correspondence: J.A. Malmgren – Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Dep. of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Gothenburg, Sweden
Intensive Care Medicine Experimental 2016, 4(Suppl 1):A355

Introduction Growing interest in the long-term effects after critical care has formed investigator-led clinical research groups around the world. Work aiming at standardizing core outcome measures and instruments for randomized clinical trials is ongoing. Whether these outcome measures reflect the domains most valued by patients or if important issues are missing from the existing scales is unclear. Commonly used tools like SF-36 and EQ-5D are too unspecific.

Objectives To develop and validate a questionnaire for patient-reported outcome measures (PROM) after critical care.

Methods During a 24-months qualitative phase, 35 former ICU-patients were interviewed in a semi-structured way, providing detailed information on symptoms and difficulties in all areas of everyday life. Patients were recruited from the post-ICU clinic at Sahlgrenska University Hospital, covering both urban and rural areas. The interviews were recorded, transcribed, and issues were categorized into 13 hypothesized domains: cognitive, executive/fatigue, physical health, pain, mental health, daily activities, sleep, food/drink/smoking, sexuality, hearing/visual/dysphagia, intestinal and urinary problems, and return to work/financial situation. After searching the literature and commonly used assessment tools, additional issues were included. All issues were then rephrased into questions, with care taken to maintain only one conceptual entity per question, and with the recall period usually being the last month. Adequate scales for frequency, intensity and duration were used. All questions were validated face-to-face with another set of former ICU-patients and with non-ICU-treated controls to make sure the wording was easily understood and neither confusing nor upsetting.

Results The questionnaire contains 271 questions. It is currently being tested in a pilot study with 650 patients, recruited six months to three years after discharge from the ICU, and 200 controls, matched for age and gender. The questionnaire is sent by mail after an invitation letter followed by a phone call, and returned in a pre-stamped envelope. Returned questionnaires are being scanned and data digitally imported into SPSS, where additional clinical data will be added. After comparison with controls, item reduction will follow, resulting in an ICU-specific PROM questionnaire.

Conclusions A patient-centred, ICU-specific questionnaire will be available for long-term follow-up in the post-ICU clinic. Being a postal document, the patients do not have to return to the hospital to provide their information, making it and suitable for large-scale studies.

References
2002
Brussels Roundtable Participants; Intensive Care Med; 2003; 29
Needham D, Pronovost et al.; Intensive Care Med; 2005; 31
Lind H, Waldenström AC, Dunberger G et al.; Br J Cancer; 2011; 105(6)

A356
One year review of oesophagogastrectomies in Queen Alexandra Hospital Portsmouth

F.C. Riccio, D. Pogson
Queen Alexandra Hospital, Critical Care, Portsmouth, United Kingdom
Correspondence: F.C. Riccio – Queen Alexandra Hospital, Critical Care, Portsmouth, United Kingdom
Intensive Care Medicine Experimental 2016, 4(Suppl 1):A356

Introduction Approximately 2000 oesophagogasterectomies are performed each year, with a 5 year survival of 25 % and 30 day mortality of 10 %[1]. Secondary analysis of ICNARC data has shown the median length of stay to be 2.8 days, and a readmission rate of 12.2 %[2]. We conducted a retrospective review of all post Oesophagogastrectomies ICU admissions in our hospital between Jan 2014-15, as part of a quality improvement project to reduce morbidity and length of stay (LOS).

Objective To analyse the electronic record and chest Xray of every oesophagogastrectomy in order to compare our own LOS, patient characteristics and identify factors affecting LOS and unit morbidity.

Method We analysed the electronic case record for each open or minimal access oesophagogastrectomy patient. Data was gathered on age, gender, analgesic method, pain scores, analgesia failure, vasopressor use, highest arterial lactate within 24 h, unit LOS and readmission rates.

Results 42 patients were identified; 77 % male and median age 64.4. 25/42 (58 %) of patients had a combination of epidural and paravertebral analgesia, 17/42 (40 %) epidural alone and 1/42 (2 %) paravertebral alone. Median pain score on D1 was 1.4 (0-3). There was a 13/42 (30 %) epidural failure rate, 10 disconnections and 3 never effective. Vasopressors were used on D1 in 28/42 (67 %) patients. Median base excess on D1 was -1 (0-5.1) and median lactate on D1 was 1.93 (0.1-5). Unit LOS had a range of 3-52 days (median 6 days).

Combinations of collapse and atelectasis were identified in 26/42 (62 %) patients on review of CXR, with one apical pneumothorax.

Conclusion Epidural disconnection rate was very high, contributing to a longer unit LOS than national average and higher pain scores. We have introduced a training package on epidural care for our nurses and encouraged the use of tunnelled epidurals in combination with paravertebral catheters.

The incidence of CXR changes after surgery in this cohort has not been studied previously. A large proportion of our patients had radiologically apparent collapse and even consolidation on arrival on ICU. This may contribute to the development of pneumonia in this setting if analgesia is imperfect as CPAP is relatively contraindicated due to the oesophageal anastomosis. We now encourage a longer period in recovery, with lung toilet and recruitment manoeuvres at the end of one-lung ventilation.

References
1. Bartels H, Stein HJ, Siewert JR. Preoperative risk analysis and postoperative mortality of oesophagectomy for resectable oesophageal cancer. *Br J Surg* 1998; 85: 840-4
2. Park DP, Welch CA, Harrison DA, et al. Outcomes following oesophagectomy in patients with oesophageal cancer: a secondary analysis of the ICNARC Case Mix Programme Database. *Critical Care* 2009; 13(2):S1 (doi:10.1186/cc7868)

A357
Characteristics and outcomes of critically ill patients undergoing tracheostomy and transferred to the ward in a Brazilian public hospital

A.C.P. Antonio[1,2], A.F. Leivas[1], F. Kenji[1]
[1]Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil; [2]Hospital Moinhos de Vento, Porto Alegre, Brazil
Correspondence: A.C.P. Antonio – Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil
Intensive Care Medicine Experimental 2016, 4(Suppl 1):A357

Introduction Placement of tracheostomy is commonly thought to allow a more secure and manageable airway and to facilitate weaning from mechanical ventilation. However, current literature suggests that tracheostomy has no impact on survival in unselected ICU patients, and it only transfers the mortality from the ICU to the ward. Moreover, in many circumstances tracheostomies are placed in patients who are at the end of their lives with little hope of meaningful recovery.

Objectives To describe main characteristics and outcomes of tracheostomized patients discharged from ICU to ward in a public hospital.

Methods A retrospective descriptive study was conducted to analyze data from the electronic medical record. The setting was four adult medical-surgical ICUs (44 beds) in a tertiary care public hospital in southern Brazil. Data from 71 adult subjects who underwent a tracheostomy as part of their ICU management and were subsequently transferred to ward were obtained. Individuals who died at ICU during first admission were excluded. Demographic data, diagnoses on admission, comorbidities, duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU length of stay, end-of-life decisions and mortality were recorded.

Results From January to December 2015, 104 subjects received tracheostomy. Thirty-two died during their initial ICU admission (30.4 %) and therefore were excluded of analysis. Of the remaining 73 individuals, twenty-eight died (38.3 %), and only four of whom were readmitted to the ICU within 48 hours of discharge. Mean age was 56.9 ± 17.7 years, 52.1 % were male and mean APACHE II score was 21.6 ± 6.8 points. Chronic neurologic disorders and cancer were main comorbidities (21.1 % and 14 %, respectively). Most common diagnosis were sepsis (33.8 %) and neurological emergencies (stroke, intracerebral hemorrhage, meningitis) [23.9 %]. Length of ICU stay was 30.7 ± 17 days and duration of mechanical ventilation was 23 (13 - 29) days. Life-sustaining treatments were withheld or withdrawn in twenty-five decedents. Seven subjects died in posterior hospitalizations at our institution over the period recorded.

Conclusions Tracheostomy may represent a burden after ICU discharge, requiring high resource use and low survival rate. Indication for tracheostomy should be cautious, and efforts should be made to recognize patients who might clearly benefit from this technique to avoid unnecessary and unwanted prolonged mechanical ventilation. All the decisions we make in the ICU do have an important impact on future care needs. Knowledge of characteristics and outcomes may assist in identifying interventions to reduce the need for tracheostomy or improve outcomes.

A358

ICU readmissions and subsequent outcomes: a ten-year retrospective analysis

E. James, P. Morgan
East Surrey Hospital, Intensive Care, Redhill, United Kingdom
Correspondence: E. James – East Surrey Hospital, Intensive Care, Redhill, United Kingdom
Intensive Care Medicine Experimental 2016, **4(Suppl 1):**A358

Introduction Readmission to Intensive Care during the same hospital admission has been shown to be associated with a higher risk of mortality (1,2).

Objectives To determine the readmission rate over a ten year period and subsequently analyse any effect this may have on mortality, length of ICU stay and whether readmission to ICU requires higher levels of care.

Methods Retrospective analysis of our electronic patient information system for admissions from 2005 to 2016. Patients under 18 years old were excluded. Data collected included: mean age, mean stay, proportions of ventilated patients, patients commenced on RRT, unit mortality, hospital mortality and MRSA status. The two patient groups were compared: patients readmitted to ICU within their hospital admission (Group 1), and those patients who were not readmitted (Group 2).

Results 7422 patients were identified in the 10-year period; 277 in Group 1 (3.7 %) and 7145 in Group 2 (96.3 %). Mean age in Group 1 was 64 compared to 61 in Group 2. Mean stay was 7.1 days and 5.3 days respectively.

Conclusions Patients readmitted to ICU during their hospital stay were at significantly increased risk of dying in hospital, but not ICU itself. MRSA is more likely to be detected in patients who are readmitted to ICU. Further work should be carried out to investigate patients readmitted more thoroughly, with an aim of identifying patients most at risk of readmission and strategies to prevent readmission and improve discharge planning.

References
1. Patients readmitted to the intensive care unit during the same hospitalization: Clinical features and outcomes. Chen LM et al. Critical Care Medicine: Nov 1998. Volume 26(11). pp1834-1841
2. Critically ill patients readmitted to intensive care units—lessons to learn? Metnitz PGH et al. Intensive Care Medicine. Feb 2003, Volume 29(2). pp 241-248

Table 113 (abstract A358). Results

	Readmitted (Group 1)	Not readmitted (Group 2)	p value (NS = Not significant)
Hospital mortality	100 (36.1)	1889 (26.4%)	0.008
Unit mortality	60 (21.6%)	1351 (18.9%)	NS
Mech. Vent	144 (51.9%)	3433 (48.1%)	NS
RRT	39 (14.1%)	1107 (15.5%)	NS
MRSA	20 (7.2%)	243 (3.4%)	0.002

A359

Pancreatitis in the west of Scotland intensive care population over a 20 year period

G. Carroll, L. Gemmell, A. MacKay, C. Wright, J. Ballantyne
Queen Elizabeth University hospital, Anaesthetics and Intensive Care, Glasgow, United Kingdom
Correspondence: G. Carroll – Queen Elizabeth University hospital, Anaesthetics and Intensive Care, Glasgow, United Kingdom
Intensive Care Medicine Experimental 2016, **4(Suppl 1):**A359

Introduction Pancreatitis is a common precipitant of critical illness and intensive care admission. Mortality from pancreatitis overall should be under 10 % and in severe pancreatitis under 30 % (1). Mortality risk is mulit-factorial but those at high risk are co-morbid, elderly, develop SIRS or progress to pancreatic necrosis. We sought to look at all of our pancreatitis admissions to ICU over a 21 year period and identify the average demographics and difference between survivors and non survivors.

Objectives To identify patients admitted to ICU with a primary diagnosis of acute pancreatitis, and to compare predicted demographics and features of systemic inflammatory response between survivors and non survivors. We hypothesised that if you required Intensive Care for the management of severe pancreatitis, death is likely to occur at the beginning of your ICU stay due to overwhelming organ failure. If you were to survive the initial insult, it was hypothesised that you may survive to hospital discharge, although the length of hospital stay would be prolonged. We sought to test this theory with our patient group.

Methods A retrospective audit of patients admitted to ICU in the Glasgow Victoria Infirmary, Southern General and Queen Elizabeth University hospital from 1994 to 2015. Patients were identified on Wardwatcher via a search of APACHE II diagnosis including pancreatitis. Data was collected from patient profiles on the Wardwatcher and TrakCare CIS.

Results 182 patients were identified with an admission diagnosis of pancreatitis from 12704 patients admitted giving an incidence of 1.4 % of all ICU admissions. Other results are as demonstrated below with with all data being presented as mean and 95 % confidence intervals with p-values from Student´s unpaired t-test where applicable.

Conclusions As could be predicted, pancreatitis is a diagnosis of the older male population in ICU, likely as a result of the concomitant problem of alcohol abuse in the West of Scotland. These patients have a higher than normal APACHE-II score and predicted mortality compared with unit averages. All SIRS criteria were met when looking at average data, hence why their likely admission to ICU.

When comparing survivors to non-survivors, survivors were significantly more likely to be younger, with lower APACHE-II scores and predicted mortality. There was no difference in length of stay between groups nor degree of derangement of any of the SIRS criteria.