

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL  
FACULDADE DE AGRONOMIA  
PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM ZOOTECNIA

**EFEITOS DE UMA DIETA SUPLEMENTADA COM FONTE NATURAL DE  
COLINA NO DESEMPENHO DE FRANGOS DE CORTE**

DOUGLAS DREBES BRUNHAUS MARIA

Zootecnista - UFRGS

Dissertação apresentada como um dos requisitos à obtenção do Grau de Mestre em  
Zootecnia

Área de Concentração: Nutrição e metabolismo animal

Porto Alegre (RS), Brasil

Novembro de 2023

## CIP - Catalogação na Publicação

Maria, Douglas Drebes Brunhaus  
Efeitos de uma dieta suplementada com fonte  
natural de colina no desempenho de frangos de corte /  
Douglas Drebes Brunhaus Maria. -- 2023.  
79 f.  
Orientador: Sergio Luiz Vieira.

Dissertação (Mestrado) -- Universidade Federal do  
Rio Grande do Sul, Faculdade de Agronomia, Programa de  
Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia, Porto Alegre, BR-RS, 2023.

1. Colina. 2. Desempenho. 3. Nutrição animal. 4.  
Frango de corte. 5. Indicadores sanguíneos. I. Luiz  
Vieira, Sergio, orient. II. Título.

Douglas Drebes Brunhaus Maria  
Zootecnista

## DISSERTAÇÃO

Submetida como parte dos requisitos  
para obtenção do Grau de  
**MESTRE EM ZOOTECNIA**  
Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia  
Faculdade de Agronomia  
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul  
Porto Alegre (RS), Brasil

Aprovada em: 27.11.2023  
Pela Banca Examinadora

Homologado em: 01/12/2023  
Por

**Sergio Luiz  
Vieira**


Assinado de forma digital  
por Sergio Luiz Vieira  
Dados: 2023.11.30 16:54:17  
-03'00'

**Sergio Luiz  
Vieira**


Assinado de forma digital  
por Sergio Luiz Vieira  
Dados: 2023.12.01 16:44:38  
-03'00'

Sergio Luiz Vieira  
PPG Zootecnia/UFRGS  
ORIENTADOR


SERGIO LUIZ VIEIRA  
Coordenador do Programa de  
Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia

Documento assinado digitalmente  
 **ALEXANDRE DE MELLO KESSLER**  
Data: 30/11/2023 11:10:47-0300  
Verifique em <https://validar.iti.gov.br>


Alexandre de Mello Kessler  
UFRGS

Documento assinado digitalmente  
 **ANDRE FAVERO**  
Data: 29/11/2023 09:31:00-0300  
Verifique em <https://validar.iti.gov.br>

André Favero  
Consultor Independente

Documento assinado digitalmente  
 **MARCO ANTONIO EBBING**  
Data: 28/11/2023 12:38:02-0300  
Verifique em <https://validar.iti.gov.br>

Marco Antônio Ebbing  
Auburn University

Documento assinado digitalmente  
 **CARLOS ALBERTO BISSANI**  
Data: 01/12/2023 17:11:57-0300  
Verifique em <https://validar.iti.gov.br>

CARLOS ALBERTO BISSANI  
Diretor da Faculdade de Agronomia

*“Aqueles que se sentem satisfeitos sentam-se e nada fazem. Os insatisfeitos são os únicos benfeitores do mundo.”*

– Walter S. Landor.

## AGRADECIMENTOS

Primeiramente, gostaria de expressar minha gratidão a todos aqueles que estiveram ao meu lado durante essa jornada. À minha amada família, meus pais, Janice e Marco Antônio, quero agradecer do fundo do meu coração pelo apoio incondicional que me proporcionaram ao longo desse processo desafiador. À minha família, meu maior porto seguro, que sempre esteve presente e torceu pelo meu sucesso, dedico minha conquista. À minha noiva incrível, Raquel Horn, quero expressar minha gratidão por sua presença constante nos momentos mais difíceis desta jornada. Seu apoio e amor foram fundamentais para que eu pudesse superar os obstáculos e seguir em frente com determinação.

Ao meu estimado professor e orientador, Sergio Luiz Vieira, não tenho palavras suficientes para agradecer. Suas oportunidades, ensinamentos e conselhos valiosos foram essenciais para o meu crescimento acadêmico e pessoal. A magnífica estrutura que você proporcionou para a realização do trabalho e da pesquisa foi fundamental para o meu sucesso. Aos colegas que compartilharam comigo a experiência do Aviário de Ensino e Pesquisa ao longo desses 9 anos, quero expressar minha sincera admiração pela dedicação e comprometimento com as atividades. Os aprendizados que adquirimos juntos e a amizade que construímos são tesouros que levarei para o resto da minha vida. Aos professores e funcionários do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia e à Estação Experimental Agronômica da UFRGS, meu profundo agradecimento pelo apoio constante e pela infraestrutura impecável que tornaram possível minha pesquisa e estudos. À CAPES, por conceder a bolsa de Mestrado que viabilizou minha educação, e às diversas empresas que apoiaram financeiramente minhas pesquisas, quero expressar minha sincera gratidão.

Em resumo, agradeço a cada pessoa que contribuiu de alguma forma para minha jornada acadêmica e pessoal. Vocês foram pilares fundamentais nessa conquista. Muito obrigado.

## **EFEITOS DE UMA DIETA SUPLEMENTADA COM FONTE NATURAL DE COLINA NO DESEMPENHO DE FRANGOS DE CORTE.**

Autor: Douglas Drebes Brunhaus Maria

Orientador: Sergio Luiz Vieira

**Resumo** - A colina é essencial para desempenho dos frangos de corte, impactando diretamente na função hepática e sistema nervoso. Objetivou-se nesse estudo avaliar a substituição do cloreto de colina (CC) por uma fonte natural de colina no desempenho, rendimento de carcaça e indicadores sanguíneos de frangos de corte. Foram distribuídos 1,050 pintos de corte machos Cobb 500, em 7 tratamentos e 10 repetições. Foram aplicadas duas fases nas dietas, inicial (1 a 14 dias) e crescimento (15 a 28 dias). A dieta basal foi formulada com colina total de 700 mg/kg e 600 mg/kg para as fases inicial e de crescimento, respectivamente. Os demais tratamentos receberam suplementação de colina em níveis de 444, 900 e 1,333 mg/kg a partir de cloreto de colina 60%, ou de fonte natural (FN) de 111, 222 e 333 mg/kg. O desempenho zootécnico (GP, CR, CA) foi avaliado semanalmente. Aos 28 dias, quatro aves por box foram selecionadas para rendimento de carcaça, coleta de sangue e fígado para análise de gordura, colesterol total (CT), lipoproteína de alta densidade (HDL), lipoproteína de baixa densidade (LDL), triglicerídeos (TG), Aspartato aminotransferase (AST), Alanina aminotransferase (ALT) e heterófilo:linfócito (H/L). As análises estatísticas foram realizadas utilizando ANOVA, modelos de regressão polinomial linear e quadrática. Os resultados mostraram que os rendimentos de CA, HDL, LDL, H/L e rendimento de carcaça não foram afetados pelas fontes suplementadas ( $P \leq 0,05$ ), GP e CR mostraram um efeito linear quando ambas as fontes de colina foram suplementadas. AST, ALT, TC, TG e gordura no fígado reduziram quando os níveis foram suplementados com ambas as fontes de colina ( $P \leq 0,05$ ). Os resultados de CC 52,2% e NS tiveram efeito quadrático sobre CT, AST e TG em 1.055 mg/kg para CC 60% e resposta máxima e 260 mg/kg para suplementação de FN. A FN demonstrou ser uma fonte viável de colina para uso em dietas comerciais, sendo necessária a suplementação de 4,1 vezes menor que a dose de CC 60%.

Palavras-chave: frangos de corte, colina, indicadores sanguíneos, desempenho.

## EFFECTS OF A NATURAL CHOLINE SOURCE SUPPLEMENTED DIET ON BROILER PERFORMANCE

Author: Douglas Drebes Brunhaus Maria

Advisor: Sergio Luiz Vieira

**Abstract** - Choline is essential for the performance of broiler chickens, directly impacting liver function and the nervous system. The objective of this study was to evaluate the replacement of choline chloride (CC) with a natural source of choline on the performance, carcass yield and blood parameters of broiler chickens. 1,050 male Cobb 500 broiler chicks were distributed in 7 treatments and 10 replications. Two phases were applied to the diets, initial (1 to 14 days) and growth (15 to 28 days). The basal diet was formulated with total choline of 700 mg/kg and 600 mg/kg for the starter and growth phases, respectively. The other treatments received choline supplementation at levels of 444, 900 and 1,333 mg/kg from choline chloride 60%, or from a natural source (NS) at 111, 222 and 333 mg/kg. Zootechnical performance (GP, CR, CA) was evaluated weekly. At 28 days, four birds per box were selected for carcass yield, blood, and liver collection for analysis of fat, total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), triglycerides (TG), Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and heterophil:lymphocyte (H/L). Statistics were performed using ANOVA, linear and quadratic polynomial regression models. The results showed that CA, HDL, LDL, H/L yields and carcass yield were not affected by the supplemented sources ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), GP and CR showed a linear effect when both choline sources were supplemented. AST, ALT, TC, TG, and liver fat levels were reduced when supplemented with both choline sources ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). The results of CC 60% and NS had a quadratic effect on CT, AST, and TG at 1,055 mg/kg for CC 60% and maximum response and 260 mg/kg for NS supplementation. FN was demonstrated to be a viable source of choline for use in commercial diets, requiring supplementation 4.1 times lower than the 60% CC dose.

*Keywords:* broilers, choline sources, blood indicators, performance.

## SUMÁRIO

<b>LISTA DE TABELAS</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>LISTA DE ABREVIATURAS</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>CAPÍTULO I</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>1. INTRODUÇÃO</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2. REVISÃO BIBLIOGRÁFICA</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1. Colina	15
2.2. Fontes de colina	18
2.3. Fonte natural	20
2.4. Marcadores enzimáticos	22
<b>3. HIPÓTESES E OBJETIVOS</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>CAPÍTULO II</b>	<b>24</b>
Effects of a natural choline source diet supplemented on broiler performance.	25
Summary	26
Description of problem	27
Material and methods	29
Results and discussion	31
Conclusions and applications	34
<b>CAPÍTULO III</b>	<b>45</b>
4. CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS	46
5. REFERÊNCIAS	48
<b>6. APÊNDICES</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>7. VITA</b>	<b>79</b>



**LISTA DE TABELAS**

Table 1. Composition of the experimental feeds.	39
Table 2. Performance of broilers varying choline sources, g.	40
Table 3. Carcass and abdominal fat yield of broilers fed diets supplemented with Choline Chloride 60% and Kolin Plus at 28 d, % <sup>1</sup> .	41
Table 4. Blood variables and liver fat of broilers varying choline sources at 28 d <sup>1</sup> .	42
Table 5. Regression equations of broilers fed diets supplemented with choline chloride 60%.	43
Table 6. Regression equations of broilers fed diets supplemented with Kolin Plus <sup>1</sup> .	44

**LISTA DE ABREVIATURAS**

ALT	Alanina transaminase.
AST	Aspartato transaminase
CA	Conversão alimentar.
CC	Cloreto de colina.
CR	Consumo de ração.
FC	Fosfatidilcolina.
GP	Ganho de peso.
HDL	Lipoproteínas de alta densidade.
LDL	Lipoproteínas de baixa densidade.

## CAPÍTULO I

## 1. INTRODUÇÃO

A colina é conhecida como um elemento essencial para o organismo das aves, desempenhando um papel ativo na preservação de diversas membranas e organelas. Além disso, ela representa um componente crucial para a síntese da acetilcolina, um neurotransmissor fundamental na transmissão de impulsos nervosos através das sinapses (WAUBEN et al., 1999, CALDERANO et al., 2015). A sua absorção ocorre por meio de micelas que são absorvidas pelos enterócitos localizados no intestino delgado através de um processo de transporte passivo. Uma vez absorvida, a colina é transportada pelas lipoproteínas de alta densidade (HDL) até o fígado, que representa seu principal sítio de ação (COMBS, 2008). Na avicultura, a colina é encontrada em diversas matérias-primas empregadas na formulação de rações. Tipicamente, manifesta-se na forma de colina livre ou em complexos, tais como fosfocolina, esfingomiéline ou fosfatidilcolina (FC) (ZEIZEL et al., 2003). A FC faz parte dos fosfolipídios presentes na membrana celular, podendo fazer parte em até 35% de sua composição, além disso, exerce um papel vital na retirada de lipídios do fígado, sendo indispensável para a síntese de lipídios de baixa densidade (LDL). Essas moléculas são responsáveis por transportar gordura para os diferentes tecidos, desempenhando um papel essencial no equilíbrio do metabolismo lipídico (YAO, 1988, BATTAGLIA, 1997).

As aves possuem baixa eficiência na síntese endógena de colina em quantidades que supram seu metabolismo. Assim, torna-se vital a suplementação de colina nas formulações dietéticas, visando assegurar um aporte adequado desse nutriente para atender às exigências metabólicas das aves (MCDOWELL, 1989). O fígado das aves é suscetível a uma variedade de alterações nutricionais, sendo possível avaliar sua saúde e funcionalidade por meio dos níveis séricos de determinadas enzimas, a exemplo da Alanina Transaminase (ALT) e Aspartato Transaminase (AST). A elevação na concentração dessas enzimas é indicativa de danos celulares (CORDUK et al. 2007). Em um estudo conduzido por Selvam et al. (2018), observou-se que a uma dieta deficiente em colina (531 mg/kg) resultou em um aumento no conteúdo da enzima AST de 4 IU/L para 8 IU/L. Esse acréscimo representou um aumento de 50%, quando comparado com uma dieta suplementada com cloreto de colina (CC). Além disso, ao suplementar a dieta com colina natural, os valores de AST foram de 5 IU/L em comparação com a dieta contendo CC. Esses resultados corroboram a função

hepatoprotetora da colina, evidenciando sua capacidade de mitigar os efeitos adversos induzidos pela deficiência dietética.

A prática comum na avicultura para suplementação de colina é por meio do CC. Contudo, a propriedade higroscópica desse composto pode contribuir para a perda de outras vitaminas presentes na dieta, o que representa um desafio em sua aplicação nas fábricas de rações. (ALBERS et al., 2002). As dietas a base de milho e farelo de soja possuem um teor de colina estimado em aproximadamente de 1.300 mg/kg na dieta, e as recomendações de suplementação para dietas de frangos de corte a base de milho e farelo de soja variam na faixa de 400 a 550 mg/kg, respectivamente. (ROSTAGNO et al., 2017, NRC, 1994). Em um estudo conduzido por POMPEU et al. (2013), a suplementação de níveis crescentes de 0, 400, 800, 1.200 e 1.600 mg/kg de CC 60% revelou uma melhoria no consumo de ração (CR) em frangos aos 42 dias, em comparação com o grupo de controle sem inclusão de CC. No trabalho de SANTIAGO et al. (2020), a suplementação de níveis crescentes de 736, 1.443, 2.143, 2.846 e 3.546 mg/kg de CC 60%, os resultados demonstraram uma resposta quadrática para ganho de peso (GP) em 2.516 mg/kg e para conversão alimentar (CA) em 2.533 mg/kg.

Atualmente, existem diversos produtos naturais caracterizados por uma elevada concentração de colina, os quais demonstram uma biodisponibilidade que pode variar até 90%. Tais produtos emergem como uma potencial alternativa ao cloreto de colina, apresentando viabilidade para consideração em diferentes contextos nutricionais (CHATTERJEE, 2004, SANTOS, 2010). Uma pesquisa realizada por D'SOUZA (2022) ao realizar a suplementação de uma fonte natural de FC a base de *Acacia nilotica* e *Curcuma longa* nos níveis de 400, 500 e 750 mg/kg, as respostas de gordura no fígado e gordura abdominal foram afetadas, enquanto o desempenho zootécnico manteve valores similares a fonte de CC 60%. Outro estudo realizado por DIAS et al. (2022) com colina natural derivada de *Ocimum sanctum*, *Andrographis paniculata*, *Silybum marianum*, *Glycine max* e *Azadirachta indica* e realizou substituições estratégicas de 25, 50 e 100% de CC por colina natural, durante o ensaio não foram encontradas diferenças para GP, CR e CA, O tratamento com 100% de inclusão de colina natural diminuiu a concentração sérica de lipoproteína de LDL aos dias 21 e 42 de idade, respectivamente.

Com fundamentação nestas pesquisas, surge a conjectura de que a fonte natural tem o potencial de substituir a fonte convencional de CC sem prejudicar a

performance dos frangos de corte. Dessa forma, o propósito deste estudo foi avaliar os efeitos da fonte natural de colina no desempenho, rendimento de carcaça e parâmetros sanguíneos em frangos de corte.

## 2. REVISÃO BIBLIOGRÁFICA

### 2.1. Colina

Quimicamente denominada  $\beta$ -hidroxietil-trimetilamônio hidróxido a colina, é considerada uma amina quaternária presente nas plantas e nos animais. No organismo, é encontrada na forma de colina livre, acetilcolina e diversos fosfolípidios. A acetilcolina é responsável pela mediação da atividade nervosa, sendo sintetizada a partir da colina e também pelo ácido acético, sendo responsável pela transmissão dos estímulos nervosos pelo animal (FERREIRA E NETO, 2003). Os principais fosfolípidios são a FC ou lecitina, alisofosfatidilcolina, plasmógenos de colina e esfingomiéline (ZEISEL, 1981). A FC é um fosfolípido considerado essencial, pois é produzido através da colina via todas células nucleadas do organismo. E envolve-se no transporte, mobilização e absorção de lípidos para o fígado, além do mais, também é um componente essencial das mitocôndrias, estando presente nas membranas celulares e nas organelas. A sua metilação ocorre na biossíntese endógena de colina, possibilitando que a S-adenosil-metionina doe um grupo metil, fazendo com que mamíferos demandem menos colina no organismo (KROENING et al 1967). Em contrapartida, as aves tem uma limitação na sua capacidade de biossíntese, fazendo que aves mais jovens necessitem maior aporte nutricional de colina na dieta (MOLITORIS et al., 1976, BAKER et al., 1970).

A colina pode ser oxidada em betaína, que é um processo irreversível que ocorre no rim e no fígado. Além disso, a síntese de FC pode ocorrer através da citidina difosfatocolina ou da metilação de fosfatidiletanolamina. A esfingomiéline, por sua vez, é produzida através transferência de fosfocolina, proveniente da FC, para um esfingolípido ceramida. Esses processos de síntese e transformação da colina desempenham papéis fundamentais na formação e manutenção de diversos componentes celulares, incluindo membranas celulares e neurotransmissores (LI & VANCE, 2008).

Seu reconhecimento aconteceu quando Theodore Gobley em 1850, através do isolamento da lecitina do tecido cerebral e ovos de carpas, seu nome deriva do grego "lekithos", que seu significado é gema de ovo (ZEISEL, 2012). Já Adolph Strecker, no ano de 1864, isolou a molécula da colina ao ferver a bile suína e bovina, originando sua nomenclatura a partir da palavra grega "chole", que seu significado é bile (MCDOWELL, 1989). A aceitação da colina como nutriente essencial só ocorreu

em 1929, com estudos que demonstraram seu efeito na prevenção de fígado gorduroso em cães e ratos (BEST & HUNTSMAN, 1932; BEST & HUNTSMAN, 1935). Somente em 1998, a colina foi oficialmente reconhecida como nutriente essencial pelo Instituto de Medicina (ZEISEL & DA COSTA, 2009). Otto Loewi e Henry Dale receberam o Prêmio Nobel em 1936 por mapearem a acetilcolina como componente químico da neurotransmissão. Edith Cohen e Dean Haubrich, em alguns estudos subsequentes, descobriram que a modulação da síntese de acetilcolina ocorria através da ingestão dietética de colina, sugerindo que a síntese endógena não era o suficiente (ZEISEL, 2012). As vias de síntese da colina podem ser a via citidina difosfatocolina e metilação da fosfatidiletanolamina, que foram mapeadas por Eugene Kennedy (1954) e Jon Bremer & David Greenberg (1960), respectivamente.

Considerada um nutriente hidrossolúvel do complexo B, alguns estudos desafiam essa classificação, pois a colina não atua como coenzima, mas sim como componente estrutural celular (INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE, 1998). Considerada fundamental para a formação e estrutura celular, a colina compõe a membrana das células animais na forma de fosfolipídios (ZEISEL, 2012). Atuando na formação e liberação de lipoproteínas hepáticas, a FC é essencial para evitar o acúmulo de triglicerídeos nos hepatócitos, prevenindo o fígado gorduroso (BERTECHINI, 2006). A colina desempenha papel crucial como agente lipotrófico, especialmente em aves devido ao subdesenvolvimento do sistema linfático (ANNISON, 1983). Alguns estudos demonstram que níveis inadequados de colina na dieta estão correlacionados com o aparecimento de esteatose hepática, caracterizada por alterações macroscópicas e microscópicas no fígado (COLE et al., 2012). Deformidades nas patas, como perose, valgus e varus, são relacionadas à deficiência de colina (MCDOWELL, 1989; JULIAN, 2005). A colina também desempenha participação na formação de colágeno, influenciando a proliferação de condrócitos e osteócitos. Além disso, a colina é crucial na formação da bainha de mielina, essencial para a condução do impulso nervoso (ZEISEL, 2012).

Classificado como um nutriente essencial e precursor da FC, tem sido reconhecida por seu papel crítico no metabolismo lipídico, especialmente no fígado (WATANABE et al., 2006). Pesquisas apontam para os efeitos benéficos da colina na modulação dos níveis de HDL e LDL colesterol em frangos. A adequada suplementação de colina na dieta está associada a um aumento nos níveis de HDL, e à redução dos níveis de LDL (SOKUNBI et al., 2023). Os triglicerídeos em relação a



colina também desempenha um papel crucial na sua regulação. Estudos sugerem que a presença adequada de colina na dieta está associada a uma redução nos níveis de triglicerídeos (GANGANE et al., 2010). Os triglicerídeos são sintetizados na mucosa intestinal e no fígado a partir dos componentes da digestão e absorção de ácidos graxos. Suas concentrações podem variar em função de vários fatores e o seu valor diagnóstico não tem sido bem estudado em aves (HOCHLEITHNER, 1994). A colina demonstrou influenciar positivamente a redução do colesterol e gordura acumulada no fígado, sugerindo um potencial papel preventivo contra o acúmulo excessivo de lipídios nesse órgão (SANTIAGO et al., 2020, SOKUNBI et al., 2023). O colesterol plasmático origina-se tanto da dieta quanto da síntese hepática, sendo excretado na forma de ácidos biliares, e seus valores normais em aves situam-se entre 100 e 250 g/L (HOCHLEITHNER, 1994; GRUNKEMEYER, 2010).

Contudo, é fundamental ressaltar que a natureza dessas relações é complexa e dependente de vários fatores, incluindo a dosagem de colina na dieta, a composição geral da alimentação das aves e a genética do frangos. Pesquisas mais aprofundadas são necessárias para elucidar completamente os mecanismos subjacentes a essas interações e para otimizar as condições nutricionais visando à promoção da saúde metabólica e hepática das aves.

## 2.2. Fontes de colina

A colina é um componente presente em diversos ingredientes empregados na elaboração de rações destinadas a aves, particularmente em fontes proteicas que exibem concentrações significativas, variando de 2.700mg/kg a 3.500mg/kg, como observado no farelo de soja e na farinha de carne (BERTECHINI, 2006). A suplementação de colina é realizada mediante o uso do CC, sendo essencial em dietas destinadas a frangos de corte. Entretanto, a utilização do cloreto de colina apresenta desafios quando incorporado na formulação de rações, devido à sua propensão à higroscopicidade, o que pode resultar na oxidação de outras vitaminas presentes na dieta (KHOSE et al., 2018). Além disso, o cloreto de colina é corrosivo, demandando equipamentos específicos para armazenamento e manuseio, e não é adequado para inclusão em pré-misturas vitamínicas concentradas. Adicionalmente, apenas um terço do CC é absorvido no lúmen intestinal, enquanto os dois terços restantes são convertidos em trimetilamina, a qual é absorvida pela corrente sanguínea e pode impactar o metabolismo hepático, inibindo a atividade da enzima flavina monooxigenase 3 (HUERGA et al., 1952; AI WAIZ et al., 1987).

Em busca de atenuar as desvantagens inerentes ao CC sintético, diversos estudos estão sendo conduzidos para explorar alternativas. Estas pesquisas estão fundamentadas na avaliação dos efeitos lipotrópicos e hepatoprotetores (NARAYANANKUTTY et al., 2018). A colina presente em vegetais se apresenta nas formas de colina livre, esfingomiélinina e FC (GANGANE et al., 2010). A FC passa por uma degradação intestinal mínima, não sofrendo conversão em trimetilamina, preservando sua eficácia e utilização no organismo do animal (HUERGA, 1952; MCDOWELL, 2000). Além disso, a biodisponibilidade e a eficácia variam entre diferentes ésteres de colina, destacando-se a FC como a mais eficiente (CHENG et al., 1996). A colina natural contém FC em sua estrutura, e possui biodisponibilidade superior quando contrastada com o CC. Essa característica possibilita a utilização de quantidades menores de suplementação, aprimorando a formulação e reduzindo as demandas de armazenamento. Segundo Calderano et al. (2015), ao incorporar 100 mg/kg de colina proveniente de fonte natural à dieta de frangos de corte, observaram resultados equiparáveis no ganho de peso aos 42 dias em comparação com a suplementação de 800 mg/kg de CC. Adicionalmente, Sharma et al. (2015) obtiveram resultados similares ao adicionar 500 mg/kg de colina natural às dietas das aves.

Onde, os animais apresentaram ganhos de peso superiores, além de melhorias significativas nos parâmetros de colesterol e triglicérides, resultando em uma redução de 18% e 36%, respectivamente.

### 2.3. Fonte natural

As pesquisas frequentes sobre fontes vegetais de colina se deve à facilidade de acesso e à simplicidade de preservação dessas fontes. Além disso, esses recursos apresentam níveis de biodisponibilidade comparáveis aos observados em produtos de origem animal, com variações que podem situar-se entre 60% e 90% (SANTOS, PEREIRA, 2010). Considerando sua origem natural, a colina natural pode ser empregada como suplemento alternativo em alimentos destinados ao mercado de produtos orgânicos, ao contrário do CC obtido por síntese química (NASCIMENTO, 2020).

A *Acacia nilotica* (*Mimosaceae*) têm demonstrado efeitos positivos devido às suas propriedades antioxidantes, enquanto espécie, destaca-se como uma fonte proeminente de compostos bioativos, incluindo flavonoides, alcaloides, fenólicos, saponinas, polissacarídeos, taninos e terpenoides também sendo considerada lipotrópica e hepatoprotetora. O gênero *Acacia* pertence a família *Leguminosae*, subfamília *Mimosoideae*. A família *Leguminosae* é uma das maiores dentre as dicotiledôneas, compreendendo mais de 13.000 espécies reunidas em mais de 600 gêneros distribuídos mundialmente, principalmente nas regiões tropicais e subtropicais (JOLY, 1998). *Acacia nilotica* é geralmente utilizada em tratamentos do trato respiratório e diarreias devido as suas propriedades tônicas, adstringentes e estimulantes (NABI, 1982). Alguns estudos demonstram seu efeito positivo na ação contra bactérias gram positivas e negativas, seu etanólico combate ambas bactérias, além disso, seu extrato hexânico demonstra mais atividade contra *candida albicans* (SOTOHOY et al., 1995, MUSTAFA et al., 1999, KAMBIZI et al., 2001). A alta presença de taninos na sua composição exibiu em alguns ensaios um efeito antimicrobiano sobre o *Clostridium perfringens*, (SOTOHOY et al., 1995). Efeitos antiinflamatórios também foram encontrando quando utilizada essa espécie, outrossim, o uso desse extrato natural demonstrou efeito hepatoprotetor e intenso atividade hipoglicêmica quando utilizada (DAFALLASH et al., 1996, JAYASEKHAR et al., 1997, WASSEL et al., 1992). Segundo KHAN et al. (2012), a suplementação de colina natural com extrato de *Acacia nilotica* e *Curcuma longa* em quantidades de 250, 350 e 500g melhorou o desempenho dos frangos, além de reduzir a gordura hepática e melhorar as características da carcaça na dose de 350 e 500g.

A *Curcuma longa*, é conhecida como açafrão-da-terra, é uma planta herbácea perene rizomatosa pertencente à família *Zingiberaceae*. Seu extrato é um polifenol amarelo-laranja, geralmente encontrado na forma de um pó amarelo seco, solúvel em óleo em seu estado natural (KHAN et al., 2012). Outra parte muito utilizada dessa planta é o seu rizoma, pois contém ativos como tetrahidrocurcuminóides, curcumina, desmetoxicurcumina e bisdesmetoxicurcumina (OSAWA et al., 1995; AL-SULTAN, 2003). A curcumina, um diferuloil metano, presente nos rizomas da cúrcuma. Alguns estudos utilizam a cúrcuma em dietas para aves, demonstrando melhorias no ganho de peso, consumo de ração, conversão alimentar, como também na atividade enzimática (AL-KASSIE et al., 2011). Além disso, os óleos essenciais da cúrcuma estão associado a propriedades antimicrobianas, antifúngicas e antioxidantes, contribuindo para uma melhor utilização dos nutrientes dietéticos pelas aves (AL-KASSIE et al., 2011; RADWAN ET AL., 2008).

## 2.4. Marcadores enzimáticos

As aminotransferases são enzimas de extrema importância, integrando um grupo de catalisadores que facilitam a transferência de um grupo amina de um aminoácido para um cetoácido. A ALT e a AST destacam-se como as transaminases mais cruciais para o diagnóstico clínico de diversas alterações metabólicas (COLES, 1974). A ALT apresenta uma forma citoplasmática (c-AST) com massa molecular de 93.000 e uma forma mitocondrial (m-AST) com massa molecular enzimática de 90.400; ambas são codificadas e sintetizadas no citosol (SWENSON & REECE, 1996). A cadeia de aminoácidos dessas enzimas foi identificada e está presente em vários tecidos, como o estriado, miocárdio e hepático, sendo considerada a principal fonte de AST e ALT no organismo (TELANG, 1975). A descoberta dessas enzimas foram atribuídas a Hird e Rowsell, cujas pesquisas destacaram uma atividade elevada nas porções citosólicas e mitocondriais das células hepáticas. Além disso, inúmeras pesquisas consideram a atividade dessa enzima em nível sérico como um indicador significativo de lesão hepática, tanto aguda quanto crônica (FRANSON et al., 1985).

A ALT está localizada no citosol dos hepatócitos e células musculares (HARR, 2002; JAENSCH, 2000). Sua função consiste na catalisação da transaminação reversível da L-alanina e do 2-oxoglutarato para formar piruvato e L-glutamato. Assim como outras transaminases, a ALT desempenha um papel no catabolismo de aminoácidos e na transferência de nitrogênio entre os órgãos (KANEKO et al., 2008). Frequentemente, o aumento na atividade da enzima ALT está associado a lesões musculares e hepáticas (HARR et al., 2002; GRUNKEMEYER, 2010). Em aves, esse aumento ocorre em resposta a danos em diversos tecidos, o que pode complicar a interpretação dessa análise (JAENSCH, 2000). Em determinadas situações, foi observado que aves com lesões hepáticas graves exibem níveis normais de ALT na corrente sanguínea. É relevante ressaltar que a atividade desta enzima pode ser reduzida em algumas espécies. Os resultados na literatura são divergentes, uma vez que alguns pesquisadores argumentam que o nível de sensibilidade é muito baixo, enquanto outros afirmam que a ALT é um indicador altamente sensível para a avaliação de distúrbios hepatocelulares, indicando lesão ou inflamação (HOCHLEITHNER, 1994, ARAÚJO et al., 2001, CAMPBELL, 2007).

### **3. HIPÓTESES E OBJETIVOS**

#### **HIPÓTESES**

Frangos de corte suplementados com uma fonte de colina natural podem melhorar o desempenho zootécnico.

A suplementação de colina natural melhora o desempenho quando comparadas a aves alimentadas com a fonte de cloreto de colina 60%.

#### **OBJETIVO GERAL**

Avaliar os impactos da inclusão de colina natural no desempenho frangos de corte, analisando seus efeitos no desempenho das aves

#### **OBJETIVO ESPECÍFICO**

Avaliar as respostas das suplementação de colina natural no rendimento de carçaga e indicadores sanguíneos.

## CAPÍTULO II



1

**2 Effects of a natural choline source supplemented diet on broiler performance.**

3

4

5 D. D. B. Maria<sup>a</sup>, S. L. Vieira,<sup>a\*</sup> R. M. Horn<sup>a</sup>, J.C. Feijó<sup>a</sup>, W. E. Altwogt<sup>a</sup>, A. Favero<sup>b</sup>

6

7

8

9

10

11 <sup>a</sup>Department of Animal Science, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Av. Bento  
12 Gonçalves, 7712, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, 91540-000.

13 <sup>b</sup> Independent Consultant, Garibaldi, RS, Brazil.

14

15

16

17

18 \* Corresponding author: Tel.: +55 51 3308 6048

19 *E-mail address:* slvieira@ufrgs.br (S.L. Vieira)

20

## Summary

Choline is essential for the health and performance of broiler chickens, directly impacting liver function. The study aimed to evaluate substitution of choline chloride (CC) by a natural choline source on the performance, carcass yield, and blood variables of broiler chickens. A total of 1,050 male Cobb 500 broilers chicks were distributed, 7 treatments and 10 replicates. Two-phases, starter (1 to 14 d), and grower (15 to 28 d) were applied. The basal group diet formulated choline were 700 mg/kg, and 600 mg/kg for starter and grower phases, respectively. The other treatments received choline supplementation of 444, 900, and 1,333 mg/kg from choline chloride 60%, or from a natural source (NS) by, 111, 222, and 333 mg/kg. Broiler performance (BWG, FI, FCR) were evaluated weekly. At 28 d, four birds per pen were selected for carcass yields, blood, and liver collection to analyze fat, total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), triglyceride (TG), Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and heterophile:lymphocyte (H/L). Statistics were conducted using ANOVA, linear and quadratic polynomial regression models. Results showed that FCR, HDL, LDL, HL, and carcass yields were not affected by the sources ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), BWG and FI showed a linear effect when both sources of Choline were supplemented. AST, ALT, TC, TG, and fat in the liver reduced when the feeds were supplemented with both choline sources ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). Results of CC 60% and NS had a quadratic effect on TC, AST, and TG at 1,055 mg/kg for maximum response average, and 260 mg/kg to NS supplementation. The NS has proven to be a viable source of choline, and it is necessary to supplement 4.1 times the dose of CC 60% in relation to NS.

41

*Keywords:* broilers, blood, choline sources, performance.

43

44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67

### Description of Problem

Choline is an essential nutrient for the poultry organism, playing a fundamental role in several physiological functions. The choline serves as a structural component in cellular organelles, such as mitochondria, playing a significant role in the formation of the cartilaginous matrix of bones and in the composition of cell membranes in the form of phospholipids, notably phosphatidylcholine (Zeisel, 1991). In parallel, choline is recognized as a lipotropic agent, playing a role in preventing anomalous lipid accumulation and inhibiting the development of hepatic steatosis (Halver, 2002). Furthermore, choline is endowed with active methyl groups, playing a crucial role as a donor of labile methyl groups, a requirement for the transformation of homocysteine into methionine after its oxidation to betaine (Zhang et al., 2013). The absence of choline in the feeds may have adverse implications on the metabolism and growth of birds. It is important to note that, although many animals could synthesize choline in their bodies, birds, until the eighth day of life, lack the ability to produce it in sufficient quantities to meet their nutritional needs (McDowell, 1989). Adequate choline supplementation is essential for the health and proper development of young birds, as the deficiency of this nutrient can result in stunted growth and, in more severe cases, lead to pathogenic conditions such as perosis (Taconi, 1988).

In conventional poultry nutrition practices the predominant form of supplementation is through choline chloride (CC) 60%. Choline supplementation levels in broiler feeds during periods of 1 to 7 days and 8 to 21 days are typically set at 550 mg/kg and 496 mg/kg (Rostagno et al. 2017), respectively. However, the use of synthetic choline in factories presents some disadvantages due to its high hygroscopicity and the possibility of oxidation of vitamins, which creates space for the exploration of viable alternatives (Zeisel et al., 1983, Selvam et al., 2018). Choline is naturally found in plants in the form of phosphatidylcholine (Botella et al., 2017). The search for natural products based on specific plants, characterized by high bioavailability, significant choline content

68 and in its esterified form, appears as a viable alternative to replace CC (Chatterje et al., 2004,  
69 Gangane et al., 2010).

70           Investigations regarding a natural source (NS) composed of the conjunction of *Acacia*  
71 *nilotica* (*Mimosaceae*) and *Curcuma longa* (*Zingiberaceae*) have shown positive effects due to  
72 their antioxidant, lipotropic and hepatoprotective properties, as documented in some studies (Yarru  
73 et al., 2009, Narayanan et al., 2013). A study aimed at evaluating the effectiveness of NS compared  
74 to CC in broiler chickens with choline deficiency revealed that NS and CC had similar  
75 performances in relation to body weight gain, feed conversion, liver function and body  
76 composition. Furthermore, NS at a dose of 400 g/ton was equivalent to CC in restoring serum  
77 aspartate aminotransferase activity and reducing abdominal fat (Selvan et al., 2018). Another study  
78 of broiler chickens using NS in the high-energy diet showed dose-dependent improvements in  
79 body weight, feed conversion ratio, reduced carcass fat and increased blood L-carnitine levels,  
80 suggesting similar benefits to CC (D'Souza et al., 2022).

81           Based on this knowledge, it's hypothesized that the natural source may replace the  
82 traditional source without any issue in broiler health and performance. Thus, the objective of the  
83 current study was to investigate the impacts of the natural choline source on the performance,  
84 carcass yield and blood variables of broiler chickens.

## 85 **Material and methods**

86 The Ethics and Research Committee of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto  
87 Alegre, Brazil, approved all procedures used in the present study.

### 88 ***Bird Husbandry***

89 A total of 1,050 d old male Cobb x Cobb 500 broilers were placed in 70 floor pens (1.65 x  
90 1.65 m). Therefore, a total of 7 treatments with 10 replications of 15 birds each were utilized. Each  
91 pen had new rice hulls bedding and was equipped with one 15-kg capacity tube feeder and 3 nipple  
92 drinkers. Lighting was continuous until 7 d of age, with a 14L:10D cycle used afterward. Birds  
93 had *ad libitum* access to water and mash feed. The average temperature was 32°C at placement,  
94 being reduced by 1°C every 2d until 23°C to provide comfort throughout the study.

### 95 ***Dietary Treatments***

96 Choline content in the dietary ingredients and feed formulations was determined using the  
97 choline enzymatic method 999.14 (AOAC International, 2000). The feed was structured around a  
98 two-phase feeding program, starter phase (1-14d), grower phase (15-28d). In the treatment group  
99 without supplementation, the dietary choline levels were formulated to contain 700 ppm of choline  
100 up to the 14th day, followed by a reduction to 600 ppm until the 21st day. Conversely, the other  
101 treatment groups received dietary supplementation alongside the base diet. Specifically, treatments  
102 2, 3 and 4 featured an additional 444, 900 and 1,333 mg/kg of CC 60%, totaling 931, 1,170, 1,396  
103 ppm of choline provided on starter feed, and 831, 1,070 and 1296 mg/kg of choline on grower  
104 feed, respectively. For treatment 5, 6 and 7 was 111, 222 and 333 ppm of choline derived from a  
105 NS (Kolin Plus<sup>®</sup>, M/s. Natural Remedies Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru, India, *Acacia nilotica*  
106 (*Mimosaceae*) and *Curcuma longa* (*Zingiberaceae*) product dosage can be varied with 25 g/kg  
107 to 65 g/kg) totaling, 702 to 707, 705 to 714 and 708 to 722 mg/kg of phosphatidylcholine provided

108 on starter feed, and 602 to 607, 605 to 614 and 608 to 622 mg/kg off phosphatidylcholine on  
109 grower feed, respectively.

### 110 ***Performance and Carcass Yield***

111 Performance was evaluated by measuring body weight gain (BWG), feed intake (FI) and  
112 feed conversion ratio (FCR) corrected for the weight of dead broiler chickens at 1, 7, 21 and 28 d  
113 of age. At 28 d of age, four birds were selected from average weight ( $\pm 5\%$ ) of each pen and  
114 processed for carcass yields and abdominal fat. Carcass yield was expressed as a percentage of  
115 live weight, and abdominal fat was expressed as a percentage of carcass weight.

### 116 ***Liver and blood analysis***

117 Livers were collected from two birds per pen after euthanasia by neck dislocation. All  
118 collected samples were weighed and stored in plastic bags by cage at  $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  until analysis. Livers  
119 were later submitted to ethyl ether extraction following previous acid hydrolysis with hydrochloric  
120 acid (method 920.39, AOAC International, 1995) to determine the percentual of fat. Blood samples  
121 were taken through heart punctures collection from two broilers randomly selected from each  
122 treatment on day 28. Blood was transferred into 5 mL test tubes containing a clot activator. Serum  
123 from centrifuged blood (3 mL) was used for total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein  
124 (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), triglyceride (TG), Aspartate aminotransferase (AST),  
125 Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and heterophil/lymphocyte (H/L), were determined using  
126 commercially kits (Labtest, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil).

### 127 ***Statistical Analysis***

128 The study was designed in a completely randomized design. Data were tested for  
129 homoscedasticity and normality of the variance prior to statistical analyses. (Levene, 1960;  
130 Shapiro and Wilk, 1965). Data were transformed using the arcsine square root percentage ( $z = \text{asin}$

131 (sqrt (y +0.5))) whenever not normally distributed, however, real means are presented as results.  
132 Data were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using GLM procedures of SAS (2009)  
133 when significant, means were compared by Tukey test at  $P \leq 0.05$ . Estimations of maximum  
134 responses to supplementation choline source were done using linear (L) and quadratic polynomial  
135 (QP) regression models. The L model ( $Y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \times X$ ) has Y as the dependent variable, X as the  
136 dietary level of choline source,  $\beta_1$  as the intercept, and  $\beta_2$  as the linear coefficient. The QP model  
137 ( $Y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \times Fe + \beta_3 \times (\text{Choline source})^2$ ) has Y as the dependent variable as a function of  
138 dietary level of choline;  $\beta_1$  as the intercept;  $\beta_2$  as the linear coefficient and  $\beta_3$  as the quadratic  
139 coefficient. The maximum response for choline supplementation was defined as Choline source =  
140  $-\beta_2 \div (2 \times \beta_3)$ .

## 141 **Results and discussion**

142 The choline in the experimental feeds were close to what had been formulated and,  
143 therefore, responses from broilers obtained were considered real effects of the treatments, the total  
144 choline concentrations in the basal feeds were found to be 721 mg/kg and 613 mg/kg (Table 1).

### 145 ***Performance***

146 The performance is presented in Table 2 and the results show that broilers fed without  
147 choline supplementation had the lowest BWG and FI, as well as the highest CA. CC 60% and NS  
148 supplementation improved BWG and FI in all periods ( $P < 0.05$ ), supplementation from both  
149 sources caused reductions in CA during all periods, however, when compared with a non-  
150 supplemented group, both supplements were not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). Similar results for FCR  
151 were found by Waldroup et al. (2005) observed that the supplementation of two levels of choline  
152 0 and 1,000 ppm, associated or not with betaine also did not impair the FCR of broiler chickens  
153 aged 49 d.

154           The results of the regressions on the type of supplemented source are presented in Tables  
155 5 and 6. The BWG showed linear growth at days 7, 14, 21 and 28 d from both sources. The  
156 responses for each CC 60% increment were 5.5, 14.1, 24.9 and 42.8g. Whereas, for NS the  
157 responses were 4.4, 12.0, 21.7 and 47.1g ( $P < 0.05$ ), respectively. FCR responses demonstrated a  
158 linear decrease when choline sources were supplemented. For CC 60% supplementation there was  
159 a reduction of 0.003g, and NS the reduction was 0.04g, ( $P < 0.05$ ), respectively. FI responses have  
160 demonstrated a linear increase at days 7, 14, 21 and 28 when the choline was supplemented. FI  
161 responses for CC 60% were 5.5, 14.7, 27.7 and 52.7g for each supplementation. For NS the linear  
162 increases correspond to 4.6, 12.7, 24.5 and 59.9g with each increasing supplementation, ( $P < 0.05$ ),  
163 respectively. Lipstein et al. (1977) evaluated the supplementation of 0, 900, 1,800 and 2,700 of  
164 CC on broiler chickens in the accumulated period of 1 to 35 days with total choline concentrations  
165 of 268 to 1,942 ppm supplementing CC and did not observe significant effects on performance.  
166 In contrast, Santiago et al. (2020) evaluated broilers fed with 0, 700, 1,400, 2,100 and 2,800 mg/kg  
167 of CC and improvements were found in BWG and FI, on the other hand, there was also an  
168 improvement in leg deviation responses. Such controversial results may explain that the exact  
169 requirement of choline is not well established yet.

#### 170 *Carcass yield*

171           The carcass yield of broilers slaughtered on day 28 are presented in Table 3. Results were  
172 not affected by supplementation of both choline sources ( $P > 0.05$ ). Similar results were found by  
173 Alagawani et al. (2015) where increasing levels of CC 60% ranging between 1,000 and 2,500  
174 mg/kg were supplemented in the Japanese quail feed, and the supplementation did not affect the  
175 carcass yield data. Carcass abdominal fat data were not affected by supplementation of both  
176 choline sources ( $P > 0.05$ ). These results corroborate those of Rahnama et al. (2020) where two



177 levels of choline were supplemented, 0.1% and 0.2%, associated or not with lecithin, and choline  
178 supplementation did not affect the amount and percentage of abdominal fat in the carcass of broiler  
179 chickens. Contrary results were found by Hossain and Das. (2014), where Choline  
180 supplementation up to 3,000 mg/kg caused a reduction in abdominal fat in 35-day-old broiler  
181 chickens.

### 182 ***Blood and fat liver analysis***

183         The blood parameters and fat liver are presented in Table 4. High high-density lipoprotein,  
184 LDL and H/F was not affected by the choline sources during this trial ( $P > 0.05$ ). These results  
185 agree with the study by Navidshad et al. (2019) where dietary choline levels (recommended level  
186 and 25% less than the recommendation of Ross 308) were evaluated and no significant differences  
187 were found in the relationship between H/L. Furthermore, other authors report in their studies  
188 reduced or unchanged levels of HDL and LDL in broiler chickens supplemented with other sources  
189 of choline (Guerreiro et al., 2011, Saleh et al., 2020). The AST data showed a quadratic response  
190 for both types of sources, which led to the lowest AST content in the blood estimated at 1,146 ppm  
191 from CC 60% and for NS 293 ppm from supplementation ( $P < 0.05$ ). Total cholesterol levels  
192 showed a quadratic effect for both supplemented sources, where the lowest serum TC levels were  
193 1.022 mg/kg for CC 60% and 235 mg/kg for NS ( $P < 0.05$ ). For TG there was also a quadratic  
194 effect, where the lowest levels of TG on blood occurred at 999 mg/kg of CC 60% and 253 mg/kg  
195 of NS added to the feed ( $P < 0.05$ ). The ALT results show linear effects for both types of choline  
196 supplementation, where serum levels are reduced with CC 60% addition, causing a decay of 2.8  
197 U/L. For NS supplementation, serum levels reduce by 4.3 U/L depending on the supplementation.  
198 These results were similar to Khose et al. (2019) fed broiler chickens with increasing levels of  
199 herbal choline of 250, 350 and 550 mg/kg and obtained reductions of 49% for ALT and 64% for  
200 AST when comparing the highest level of supplementation with the control treatment without

201 added NS at 42d. Rahnama et al. (2020), studies supplementation with increasing levels of 0.1%  
202 and 0,2% of choline decreased TC, TG, LDL, HDL by 11, 21, 20 and 20%. Furthermore, serum  
203 AST and ALT levels also decreased. Liver fat demonstrated a linear decreasing effect for CC 60%  
204 and NS supplementation, where with each dietary supplement there was a 0.24% decrease in  
205 abdominal fat. For NS supplementation, there was a 0.25% drop in liver fat when birds were fed  
206 increasing levels. The results of this study show, broiler chickens fed with both sources and  
207 increasing levels of choline had reduced liver injury parameters, as well as TG and TC and liver  
208 fat, when compared to the diet without choline supplementation.

### 209 **Conclusions and applications**

- 210 1. The primary outcome of this investigation revealed that choline derived from a natural  
211 source exhibited similar responses to choline chloride, thereby establishing itself as a viable  
212 alternative for incorporation into commercial feed formulations.
- 213 2. The concentration of AST and ALT in the blood decreased when supplemented with  
214 different sources of choline, TC and TG levels showed a quadratic effect. total cholesterol  
215 and triglyceride levels demonstrated quadratic effects for both types of choline sources,  
216 showing better responses in supplements of 1,022 and 999 mg/kg for CC 60% and 235 and  
217 253 for NS.
- 218 3. Choline supplementation linearly reduced liver fat in broiler chickens, regardless of source,  
219 showing a clear benefit in reducing liver fat.

220

221

222

### 223 **Acknowledgements**

224 The authors acknowledge the partial funding from Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa (CNPq –  
225 Brasilia, DF, Brazil), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES)  
226 and Vidara for their integrated supported in this project.

## 227 **References**

228 Alagawany M., M. El-Hindawy, A. Attia, M. Farag, and M. A. El-Hack. 2015. Influence of  
229 dietary choline levels on growth performance and carcass characteristics of growing Japanese  
230 quail. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 3(2): 109-115.

231 AOAC, 1995. *Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. Official Methods of Analysis*, 16<sup>th</sup> ed. Washington,  
232 DC, US.

233 AOAC, 2000. *AOAC, Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. Official Methods of Analysis*, 17<sup>th</sup> ed.  
234 Arlington, VA, US.

235 Blair M. E, L. M. Potter, B. A. Bliss, and J. R. Shelton. 1986. Methionine, Choline, and  
236 sulfate supplementation of practical-type diets for young turkeys. *Poult Sci.* 65:130-7.

237 Botella C., J. Jouhet, and M. A. Block. 2017. Importance of phosphatidylcholine on the  
238 chloroplast surface. *Prog Lipid Res.* 12:23-65.

239 Chatterjee S., and S. K. Misra. 2004. Efficacy of herbal biocholine in controlling fatty liver  
240 syndrome in commercial broilers on high metabolic energy diet. *Phytomedica.* 37:39-5.

241 Derilo Y. L., and D. Balnave. 1980. The choline and sulphur amino acid requeriments of  
242 broiler chickens fed on semi-purified diets. *Br Poult Sci.* 21:479-87.

243 D'souza P, and R. Selvam. 2022. Evaluation of polyherbal formulation in broilers fed high  
244 energy diet: Implications on zootechnical parameters, fat accretion, and serum L-carnitine levels.  
245 *J Adv Vet Anim Res.* 166:174-9(1).

246 G. S. Santiago, S.L. Vieira, C. Stefanello, C.T. Simões, L. Kindlein, D.D. Maria, and P.  
247 Ibaírrro. 2020. Dietary choline affects field performance and broiler leg deviations, *Livest. Sci.*  
248 240:1871-1413.

249 Gangane G. R., N. Z. Gaikwad, K. Ravikanth, S. Maini. 2010. The Comparative effects of  
250 synthetic choline and herbal choline on hepatic lipid metabolism in broilers. *Vet. World.* 318:320-  
251 3(7).

252 Guerreiro, A. C., J. R. Sartori, C. Mori, V. C. Cruz, V. B. Fascina, D. F. Pinheiro, L. A.  
253 Madeira, and J. C. Gonçalves. 2011. Emulsifier in broiler diets containing different fat sources.  
254 *Braz. J. Poult. Sci.* 13(2), 119–125.

255 Halver J. E. 2002. The vitamins. Editors Fish nutrition. 3<sup>rd</sup>. San Diego, CA, USA. Academic  
256 Press. 61:140.

257 Hossain M. E, and G. B. Das. 2014. Effects of supplemental choline on deposition of cardiac,  
258 hepatic, and abdominal fat in broiler. *Bang J Anim Sci.* 43(2):118–122.

259 Levene, H. 1960. Robust Tests for the Equality of Variance Contributions to Probability and  
260 Statistics. I. Olkin. Stanford University Press, Palo Alto, CA, 278–292 Pages.

261 M. Rahnama, M. Bouyeh, I. Kadim, A. Seidavi, M. M. Y. Mona., P. R. K. Reddy, J.  
262 C. Monroy, and A. Z. M. Salem. 2020. Effect of dietary inclusion of lecithin with choline on  
263 physiological stress of serum cholesterol fractions and enzymes, abdominal fat, growth  
264 performance, and mortality parameters of broiler chickens, *Anim. Biotechnol.* 31:6, 483-490.

265 McDowell, L. R. 1989. Vitamins in Animal Nutrition: Comparative Aspects to Human  
266 Nutrition, Choline. Academic Press. 347-364.

267 Narayanan K., M. S. Kunnathur, and G. Chandrasekaran. 2013. Protective effect of *Acacia*  
268 *nilotica* (L.) against acetaminophen-induced hepatocellular damage in Wistar rats. *Adv Pharmacol*  
269 *Sci.* 2013:987692.

270 Navidshad, B., Z. Maghsoodi., S. Nikbin, A. K. Hesari. 2019. Dietary Garlic Powder  
271 Supplementation Could Ameliorate Unfavorable Effects of Choline Deficiency on the Liver  
272 Health and Immune System of Broiler Chickens. *Iran. J. Appl. Anim. Sci.* 9(2):337-346.

273 Rostagno, H. S., L. F. T. Albino, M. I. Hannas, J. L. Donzele, N. K. Sakomura, F. G. Perazzo,  
274 A. Saraiva, M. L. Teixeira, P. B. Rodrigues, R. F. Oliveira, S. L. T. Barreto, and C.O. Brito. 2017.  
275 Brazilian Tables for Poultry and Swine: Composition of Foods and Nutritional Requirements, 4<sup>th</sup>  
276 ed. UFV, Viçosa.

277 Saleh, A.A., K. A. Amber, M. M. Mousa, A. L. Nada, W. Awad, M. A. O. Dawood, T. A  
278 Ebeid, and M. M. Abdel-Daim. 2020. A Mixture of Exogenous Emulsifiers Increased the  
279 Acceptance of Broilers to Low Energy Diets: Growth Performance, Blood Chemistry, and Fatty  
280 Acids Traits. *Anim.* 10:437.

281 Khose K., S. Manwar, M. Gole, R. Ingole, and P. Rathod. 2019. Replacement of Synthetic  
282 Choline Chloride by Herbal Choline in Diets on Liver Functions Enzymes, Carcass Traits and  
283 Economics of Broilers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 9:87-93.

284 SAS Institute. 2009. SAS User's Guide: Statistics, Version 9.0<sup>th</sup> Edition. SAS Institute, Cary,  
285 NC, US.

286 Selvam R., M. Saravanakumar, S. Suresh, C. V. Chandrasekeran, and D. S. Prashanth. 2018.  
287 Evaluation of polyherbal formulation and synthetic choline chloride on choline deficiency model  
288 in broilers: implications on zootechnical parameters, serum biochemistry and liver histopathology.  
289 *Asian-australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 1795:1806-31(11).

290 Shapiro, S. S., and M. B. Wilk. 1965. An analysis of variance test for normality (complete  
291 samples). *Biometrika*. 52:591-611.

292 Tacconi, R. 1988. Betaina e colina nei mangimi per pollame. *Riv. di Avic.*89:92-57.

293 Tukey, J. 1991. The philosophy of multiple comparisons. *Stat.Sci.* 6 (10.1214/ss/  
294 1177011945), 100–116.

295 Waldroup P.W., and C. A. Fritts. 2005. Evaluation of separate and combined effects of  
296 choline and betaine in diets for male broilers. *Int J Poult Sci.* 4:442-8.

297 Yarru L. P., R. S. Settivari, and N. K. S. Gowda. 2009. Effects of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*)  
298 on the expression of hepatic genes associated with biotransformation, antioxidant, and immune  
299 systems in broiler chicks fed aflatoxin. *Poult Sci.* 88:2620–7.

300 Zeisel S. H., J. S. Wishnok, and J. K. Blusztajn. 1983. Formation of methylamines from  
301 ingested choline and lecithin. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 320:24-225(2)

302 Zeisel, S. 1991. Choline, an essential nutrient for humans. *FASEB.* 2093:2098-5.

303 Zhang C. X, M. X. Pan, and B. Li. 2013. Choline and betaine intake is inversely associated  
304 with breast cancer risk: a two-stage case control study in china. *Cancer Sci.* 104:250–8.

305

306 Table 1. Composition of the experimental feeds.

Ingredients, % as is	Starter 1-14 d	Grower 15-28 d
Corn	62.57	74.09
Isolated soy protein, 80%	17.81	16.78
Broken rice	10.06	5.00
Soybean meal	5.00	-
Soybean oil	0.50	0.50
Dicalcium Phosphate	1.72	1.56
Limestone	1.44	1.27
DL-Methionine 99%	0.36	0.29
L-Lysine 78%	0.23	0.23
L-Threonine 98.5%	0.08	0.07
Salt	0.02	0.01
Vitamin and mineral mix <sup>1</sup>	0.20	0.20
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>
Calculated nutrient composition, % unless noted		
EMA <sub>n</sub> , kcal/kg	3,248	3,319
CP	22.8 (22.3)	20.1 (20.4)
Ca	0.95	0.84
Av. P	0.46	0.42
Na	0.22	0.20
Dig. Lys	1.28	1.12
Dig. Met	0.67	0.57
Dig. TSAA	0.97	0.85
Dig. Thr	0.83	0.72
Dig. Val	0.97	0.86
Dig. Arg	1.44	1.24
Choline <sup>2</sup> , mg/kg	700 (721)	600 (613)

307 <sup>1</sup>Composition per kilogram of feed: vitamin A, 12,000 IU; vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, 3,000 IU; vitamin E, 100 IU; vitamin K<sub>3</sub>, 6 mg; vitamin B12, 35 µg; thiamin,  
308 3 mg; riboflavin, 15 mg; vitamin B6, 6 mg; niacin, 40 mg; pantothenic acid, 25 mg; folic acid, 4 mg; biotin, 0.3 mg; Zn, 65 ppm; Mn, 65 ppm; Fe,  
309 40 ppm; Cu, 10 ppm; Se, 0.45 mg and I, 2 mg.

310 <sup>2</sup>Analyzed values are in the parentheses.

311

312 Table 2. Performance of broilers varying choline sources, g.

Treatment <sup>2</sup>	Body weight gain, g <sup>1</sup>				Feed conversion ratio				Feed intake, g			
	1 - 7	1 - 14	1 - 21	1 - 28	1 - 7	1 - 14	1 - 21	1 - 28	1 - 7	1 - 14	1 - 21	1 - 28
Basal <sup>3</sup>	99 <sup>d</sup>	334 <sup>c</sup>	736 <sup>b</sup>	1,296 <sup>c</sup>	1.135	1.170	1.307	1.359	112 <sup>c</sup>	391 <sup>c</sup>	961 <sup>b</sup>	1,762 <sup>c</sup>
444 mg/kg CC 60%	105 <sup>bcd</sup>	373 <sup>ab</sup>	794 <sup>ab</sup>	1,396 <sup>ab</sup>	1.131	1.164	1.302	1.355	118 <sup>bc</sup>	433 <sup>a</sup>	1,033 <sup>ab</sup>	1,892 <sup>ab</sup>
900 mg/kg CC 60%	106 <sup>bcd</sup>	373 <sup>ab</sup>	804 <sup>a</sup>	1,412 <sup>ab</sup>	1.128	1.157	1.295	1.350	120 <sup>abc</sup>	430 <sup>ab</sup>	1,042 <sup>a</sup>	1,906 <sup>ab</sup>
1,333 mg/kg CC 60%	116 <sup>a</sup>	381 <sup>a</sup>	816 <sup>a</sup>	1,434 <sup>a</sup>	1.123	1.156	1.292	1.348	130 <sup>a</sup>	441 <sup>a</sup>	1,053 <sup>a</sup>	1,932 <sup>a</sup>
111 mg/kg Kolin Plus <sup>4</sup>	101 <sup>cd</sup>	338 <sup>bc</sup>	759 <sup>ab</sup>	1,320 <sup>bc</sup>	1.134	1.171	1.304	1.356	115 <sup>bc</sup>	395 <sup>bc</sup>	989 <sup>ab</sup>	1,790 <sup>bc</sup>
222 mg/kg Kolin Plus	109 <sup>abc</sup>	345 <sup>bc</sup>	771 <sup>ab</sup>	1,400 <sup>ab</sup>	1.129	1.171	1.302	1.355	123 <sup>ab</sup>	405 <sup>abc</sup>	1,003 <sup>ab</sup>	1,897 <sup>ab</sup>
333 mg/kg Kolin Plus	111 <sup>ab</sup>	372 <sup>ab</sup>	804 <sup>a</sup>	1,426 <sup>a</sup>	1.121	1.156	1.295	1.350	125 <sup>ab</sup>	430 <sup>ab</sup>	1,038 <sup>ab</sup>	1,926 <sup>a</sup>
Mean	106	360	783	1,384	1.129	1.164	1.300	1.353	121	418	1,017	1,872
SEM	0.994	3.665	6.308	9.965	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.002	1.086	3.876	7.480	13.209
Probability	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0029	<0.0001	0.2573	0.6692	0.8874	0.4690	<0.0001	0.0001	0.0047	0.0002

313 <sup>1</sup> Chick body weight at placement was 47.6 g ± 0.88.314 <sup>2</sup> Treatments: 444 mg/kg from CC 60% (522 g/kg) = 932 mg/kg of choline; 900 mg/kg from CC60% (522 g/kg) = 1,170 mg/kg of choline; 1333 mg/kg from CC60% (522 g/kg) = 1,396 mg/kg of choline.315 <sup>3</sup> Basal = feed starter from 1 to 14 d (721 mg/kg choline) and feed grower from 15 to 28 d (613 mg/kg choline).316 <sup>4</sup> Composition of Kolin Plus = between 25 g/kg and 65 g/kg of phosphatidylcholine, according to the product label.317 <sup>a>b>c</sup> Means with different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

318



319 Table 3. Carcass and abdominal fat yield of broilers fed diets supplemented with Choline Chloride 60% and Kolin Plus at 28 d, %<sup>1</sup>.

Treatment	Carcass <sup>5</sup>	Abdominal Fat
Basal <sup>2</sup>	73.1	1.34
444 mg/kg CC 60% <sup>3</sup>	73.5	1.41
900 mg/kg CC 60%	73.0	1.36
1333 mg/kg CC 60%	73.0	1.38
111 mg/kg Kolin Plus <sup>4</sup>	73.0	1.34
222 mg/kg Kolin Plus	73.0	1.38
333 mg/kg Kolin Plus	73.7	1.33
Mean	73.2	1.36
SEM <sup>5</sup>	0.099	0.019
Probability <	0.3794	0.9264

320 <sup>1</sup>Probabilities of carcass and abdominal fat are presented after arcsine transformation.321 <sup>2</sup>Basal = feed starter from 1 to 14 d (721 mg/kg choline) and feed grower from 15 to 28 d (613 mg/kg choline).322 <sup>3</sup>Treatments: 444 mg/kg from CC 60% (522 g/kg) = 932 mg/kg of choline; 900 mg/kg from CC60% (522 g/kg) = 1,170 mg/kg of choline; 1333 mg/kg from CC60% (522 g/kg) = 1,396 mg/kg of choline.323 <sup>4</sup>Composition of Kolin Plus = between 25 g/kg and 65 g/kg of phosphatidylcholine, according to the product label.324 <sup>5</sup>Eviscerated carcasses as a percentage of body weight (total per treatment = 40 broilers).

325 Table 4. Blood variables and liver fat of broilers varying choline sources at 28 d<sup>1</sup>.

Treatment	AST, U/L	ALT, U/L	TG, mg/dL	HDL, mg/dL	LDL, mg/dL	TC, mg/dL	H/L	Liver fat, %
Basal <sup>2</sup>	309.8 <sup>a</sup>	31.0 <sup>a</sup>	131 <sup>a</sup>	62	27	119 <sup>a</sup>	0.54	6.34 <sup>a</sup>
444 mg/kg CC 60% <sup>3</sup>	285.9 <sup>ab</sup>	22.6 <sup>ab</sup>	98 <sup>b</sup>	58	26	105 <sup>b</sup>	0.54	5.93 <sup>ab</sup>
900 mg/kg CC 60%	276.8 <sup>b</sup>	21.6 <sup>ab</sup>	94 <sup>b</sup>	57	27	104 <sup>b</sup>	0.51	5.78 <sup>ab</sup>
1333 mg/kg CC 60%	274.3 <sup>b</sup>	21.8 <sup>ab</sup>	92 <sup>b</sup>	59	24	103 <sup>b</sup>	0.51	5.59 <sup>ab</sup>
111 mg/kg Kolin Plus <sup>4</sup>	287.9 <sup>ab</sup>	20.4 <sup>ab</sup>	99 <sup>ab</sup>	60	29	104 <sup>ab</sup>	0.53	5.61 <sup>ab</sup>
222 mg/kg Kolin Plus	279.1 <sup>b</sup>	19.9 <sup>ab</sup>	98 <sup>b</sup>	58	28	104 <sup>ab</sup>	0.54	5.55 <sup>ab</sup>
333 mg/kg Kolin Plus	276.4 <sup>b</sup>	16.7 <sup>b</sup>	94 <sup>b</sup>	59	28	103 <sup>b</sup>	0.55	5.49 <sup>b</sup>
Mean	284	22.0	101	59	27	106	0.53	5.75
SEM	2.463	1.1136	3.121	1.323	0.737	1.259	0.0223	0.078
Probability	0.0005	0.0294	0.0090	0.9810	0.7264	0.0018	0.9947	0.0433

326 <sup>1</sup>AST = Aspartate aminotransferase, ALT = Alanine aminotransferase, TG = Triglyceride, HDL= High-density lipoprotein, LDL= Low-density lipoprotein, TC= Total Cholesterol, H/L:  
327 heterophil/lymphocyte.

328 <sup>2</sup> Basal = feed starter from 1 to 14 d (721 mg/kg choline) and feed grower from 15 to 28 d (613 mg/kg choline).

329 <sup>3</sup> Treatments: 444 mg/kg from CC 60% (522 g/kg) = 932 mg/kg of choline; 900 mg/kg from CC60% (522 g/kg) = 1,170 mg/kg of choline; 1333 mg/kg from CC60% (522 g/kg) = 1,396 mg/kg of choline.

330 <sup>4</sup> Composition of Kolin Plus = between 25 g/kg and 65 g/kg of phosphatidylcholine, according to the product label.

331 <sup>a>b</sup> Means with different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

332  
333

334 Table 5. Regression equations of broilers fed diets supplemented with choline chloride 60%.

Item	Regression equations <sup>1</sup>	Model <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	P	mg/kg
BWG 7 d, g	$Y = 98.6352 + 0.0118x$	L	0.4028	<0.0001	-
BWG 14 d, g	$Y = 344.0469 + 0.0318x$	L	0.2171	0.0024	-
BGW 21 d, g	$Y = 749.8929 + 0.0562x$	L	0.1963	0.0042	-
BGW 28 d, g	$Y = 1,320.3763 + 0.0962x$	L	0.2618	0.0007	-
FCR 7 d	$Y = 1.1346 - 0.000008x$	L	0.1486	0.0140	-
FI 7 d, g	$Y = 111.9126 + 0.0124x$	L	0.3867	<0.0001	-
FI 14 d, g	$Y = 401.5792 + 0.0332x$	L	0.2181	0.0024	-
FI 21 d, g	$Y = 979.7477 + 0.0639x$	L	0.1783	0.0066	-
FI 28 d, g	$Y = 1,793.8697 + 0.1185x$	L	0.2273	0.0019	-
AST <sup>3</sup> , U/L	$Y = 309.3644 - 0.0619x + 0.000027x^2$	Q	0.3497	0.1000	1,146
ALT <sup>4</sup> , U/L	$Y = 28.5456 - 0.0064x$	L	0.0978	0.0495	-
TC <sup>5</sup> , mg/dL	$Y = 118.6224 - 0.0327x + 0.000016x^2$	Q	0.3946	0.0254	1,022
TG <sup>6</sup> , mg/dL	$Y = 129.5096 - 0.0795x + 0.00004x^2$	Q	0.2797	0.0636	999
Liver fat, %	$Y = 6.2685 - 0.00054x$	L	0.1617	0.0101	-

335 <sup>1</sup>Regression equations obtained using the increasing choline chloride 60% in the diets (0, 444, 900 and 1333 ppm CC60%).336 <sup>2</sup> L = linear, Q = quadratic.337 <sup>3</sup>Aspartate aminotransferase.338 <sup>4</sup> Alanine aminotransferase.339 <sup>5</sup> Total Cholesterol.340 <sup>6</sup> Triglyceride.

341

342 Table 6. Regression equations of broilers fed diets supplemented with Kolin Plus<sup>1</sup>.

Item	Regression equations <sup>1</sup>	Model <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	P	mg/kg
BWG 7 d, g	$Y = 98.4592 + 0.0405x$	L	0.4631	<0.0001	-
BWG 14 d, g	$Y = 329.3895 + 0.1082x$	L	0.3296	0.0001	-
BGW 21 d, g	$Y = 734.8022 + 0.1954x$	L	0.3628	<0.0001	-
BGW 28 d, g	$Y = 1,290.0886 + 0.4249x$	L	0.5454	<0.0001	-
FCR 7 d	$Y = 1.1365 - 0.00004x$	L	0.0894	0.0609	-
FI 7 d, g	$Y = 111.9297 + 0.0416x$	L	0.3970	<0.0001	-
FI 14 d, g	$Y = 386.1344 + 0.1146x$	L	0.2983	0.0003	-
FI 21 d, g	$Y = 961.0831 + 0.2210x$	L	0.3747	<0.0001	-
FI 28 d, g	$Y = 1,753.5355 + 0.5401x$	L	0.5157	<0.0001	-
AST <sup>3</sup> , U/L	$Y = 309,4570 - 0.2283x + 0.00039x^2$	Q	0.5198	0.0258	293
ALT <sup>4</sup> , U/L	$Y = 28.5110 - 0.0391x$	L	0.3413	<0.0001	-
TC <sup>5</sup> , mg/dL	$Y = 118.5421 - 0.1389x + 0.00028x^2$	Q	0.3388	0.0282	235
TG <sup>6</sup> , mg/dL	$Y = 129.2993 - 0.2930x + 0.00058x^2$	Q	0.2553	0.0870	253
Liver fat, %	$Y = 6.1357 - 0.00234x$	L	0.1848	0.0056	-

343 <sup>1</sup>Regression equations obtained using the increasing Kolin plus in the diets (0, 111, 222 and 333 ppm Kolin Plus).

344 <sup>2</sup> L = linear, Q = quadratic

345 <sup>3</sup>Aspartate aminotransferase.

346 <sup>4</sup> Alanine aminotransferase.

347 <sup>5</sup>Total Cholesterol.

348 <sup>6</sup> Triglyceride.

349

### **CAPÍTULO III**

#### 4. CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS

O presente estudo demonstra o papel crucial na elucidação da aplicabilidade de uma fonte natural de colina em dietas destinadas a frangos de corte. Os resultados obtidos indicaram que o desempenho zootécnico foi significativamente superior em comparação ao tratamento sem suplementação de qualquer fonte de colina. Adicionalmente, a fonte natural de colina demonstrou respostas equiparáveis ao cloreto de colina, evidenciando uma correlação linear positiva entre o aumento dos níveis de colina e melhorias concomitantes no ganho de peso e no consumo de ração. Este achado sugere uma promissora alternativa de fonte natural de colina em substituição ao tradicional cloreto de colina em dietas para frangos de corte, proporcionando respostas para a otimização do desempenho zootécnico dessas aves.

Neste estudo, foi possível constatar que a suplementação de ambas as fontes de colina não exerceu efeito significativo sobre a quantidade de gordura abdominal e o rendimento de carcaça das aves. Esses achados corroboram com resultados de estudos anteriores que investigaram a influência de ambas as formas de colina nas dietas de frangos de corte.

Ao analisar as respostas hematológicas, foi possível identificar um padrão linear para a enzima ALT em ambas as fontes de colina suplementadas. Mais especificamente, ao aumentar gradativamente os níveis de suplementação de cloreto de colina, observou-se uma redução de 30% nos níveis dessa enzima no sangue das aves. Por outro lado, a fonte natural de colina demonstrou uma diminuição ainda mais pronunciada, alcançando uma redução de 46% nos níveis da referida enzima circulante. Esses resultados indicam que a suplementação de colina, independentemente de ser na forma de cloreto ou de uma fonte natural, exerce efeitos distintos nos parâmetros hematológicos. No que diz respeito ao colesterol total, triglicerídeos e AST (aspartato aminotransferase), as respostas foram quadráticas, revelando um ponto de suplementação médio ideal de cloreto de colina de 1.055 mg/kg na dieta de frangos de corte, e para a fonte natural de 260 mg/kg. Esta evidência sugere que há uma relação não linear entre a suplementação de colina e esses parâmetros específicos, destacando a importância da dosagem adequada para otimizar os benefícios desejados.

Na análise da gordura no fígado, foi observada uma resposta linear à suplementação de ambas as fontes de colina, indicando que os níveis de gordura

diminuíram proporcionalmente com a crescente suplementação de colina. Esses achados estão em consonância com dados encontrados na literatura, onde a colina é reconhecida como um agente hepatoprotetor.

Conduzindo uma análise de equivalência entre as duas fontes, observou-se que a colina proveniente de uma fonte natural pode ser suplementada em uma proporção 3,7 vezes menor do que o cloreto de colina para alcançar respostas superiores no desempenho zootécnico. Em relação aos indicadores hematológicos e à gordura no fígado, essa equivalência corresponde a uma redução de 4,4 vezes na quantidade de colina natural necessária para atingir resultados semelhantes. Essa constatação sugere uma eficiência significativamente maior na utilização da colina proveniente de fontes naturais em comparação ao cloreto de colina, destacando a viabilidade dessa abordagem como uma estratégia eficaz para otimizar a suplementação de colina em dietas para frangos de corte.

## 5. REFERÊNCIAS

AL WAIZ, M. *et al.* Trimethylaminuria (fish-odour syndrome): an inborn error of metabolism. **Lancet**, New York, v. 1, n. 8533, p. 634-635, 1987.

ALBERS, N. *et al.* **Vitamins in animal nutrition**. Bonn: Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Wirkstoffe in der Tierernährung, 2002.

AL-KASSIE, G. A. M.; MOHSEEN, A. M.; ABD-AL-JALEEL, R. A. Modification of productive performance and physiological aspects of broilers on the addition of a mixture of cumin and Turmeric to the diet. **Research Opinions in Animal & Veterinary Sciences**, Peshawar, v. 1, p. 31-34, 2011.

AL-SULTAN, S. I. The effect of *Curcuma longa* (Turmeric) on overall performance of broiler chickens. **International Journal of Poultry Science**, Faisalabad, v. 2, p. 351-353, 2003.

ANNISON, E. F. Lipid metabolism. *In*: FREEMAN, B. M. (ed.). **Physiology, and biochemistry of the domestic fowl**. London: Academic Press, 1983. p. 165-174.

ARAÚJO, A. A. L. L. Estudo das aminotransferases em ratos cirróticos hepatectomizados após aplicação de laser. **Acta Cirúrgica Brasileira**, São Paulo, v. 16, n. 1, p. 45-46, 2001.

BAKER, D. H.; SUGAHARA, M. Nutritional investigation of the metabolism of glycine and its precursors by chicks fed a crystalline amino acid diet. **Poultry Science**. Champaign, v. 49, p. 756-60, 1970.

BATTAGLIA, K. B.; SCHIMMEL, R. J. Cell membrane lipid composition and distribution: implications for cell function and lessons learned from photoreceptors and platelets. **The Journal of Experimental Biology**, London, v. 200, p. 2927-2936, 1997.

BERTECHINI, A. G. **Nutrição de monogástricos**. Lavras: Ed. UFLA, 2006. 301 p.

BEST, C. H.; HUNTSMAN, M. E. Effect of choline on liver fat of rats in various states of nutrition. **Journal of Physiology**, Oxford, v. 83, n. 3, p. 255-274, 1935.

BEST, C. H.; HUNTSMAN, M. E. The effects of the components of lecithine upon the deposition of fat in the liver. **Journal of Physiology**, Oxford, v. 75, n. 4, p. 405-412, 1932.

CAMPBELL, T. W. Bioquímica clínica de aves. *In*: THRALL, M. A. *et al.* **Hematologia e bioquímica clínica veterinária**. São Paulo: Roca, 2007. p. 415-435.



CHATTERJEE, S.; MISRA, S. K. Efficacy of herbal biocholine in controlling fatty liver syndrome in commercial broilers on high metabolic energy diet. **Phytomedica**, Saharanpur, v. 5, p. 37-39, 2004.

CHENG, W. *et al.* Bioavailability of choline and choline esters from milk in rat pups. **Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry**, Stoneham, v. 7, n. 8, p. 457-464, 1996.

COLE, L. K.; VANCE, J. E.; VANCE, D. E. Phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis and lipoprotein metabolism. **Biochimica et Biophysica Acta**, Amsterdam, v. 1821, n. 5, p. 754-761, 2012.

COLES, E. H. Liver function. **Veterinary clinical pathology**. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1974. 165 p.

COMBS, J. R. G.; MCCLUNG, J. P. **The vitamins: fundamental aspects in nutrition and health**. 5th ed. London: Elsevier, 2008. v. 1. 455 p.

CORDUK, M.; CEYLAN, N.; ILDIZ, F. Effects of dietary energy density and L-carnitine supplementation on growth performance, carcass traits and blood parameters of broiler chickens. **South African Journal of Animal Science**, Pretoria, v. 37, p. 65–73, 2007.

DAFALLASH, A.; MUSTAFA, Z. Investigation of anti-inflammatory activity of *Acacia nilotica* and *hibiscus sabdariffa*. **The American Journal of Chinese Medicine**, Singapore, v. 24, n. 3/4, p. 263-269, 1996.

D'SOUZA, P.; SELVAM, R. Evaluation of polyherbal formulation in broilers fed high energy diet: Implications on zootechnical parameters, fat accretion, and serum L-carnitine levels. **Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research**, Mymensingh, v. 9, n. 1, p. 166-174, 2022.

FERREIRA, R. A.; OLIVEIRA NETO, A. R. Vitaminas na nutrição animal. *In*: FERREIRA, R. A.; VELOSO, C. M.; RECH, C. L. S. (org.). **Nutrição animal: tópicos avançados**. Itapetinga: UESC, 2003. p. 268.

FRANSON, J. C.; MURRAY, H. C.; BUNCK, C. Enzyme activities in plasma, kidney, liver, and muscle of five avian species. **Journal of Wildlife Diseases**, Lawrence, v. 21, n. 1, p. 33-39, 1985.

GANGANE, G. R. *et al.* The Comparative effects of synthetic choline and herbal choline on hepatic lipid metabolism in broilers. **Veterinary World**, Rajkot, v. 3, n. 7, p. 318-320, 2010.

GRUNKEMEYER, V. L. Advanced diagnostic approaches, and current management of avian hepatic disorders. **Veterinary Clinics of North America: Exotic Animal Practice**, Philadelphia, v. 13, n. 3, p. 413–427, 2010.

HARR, K. E. Clinical chemistry of companion avian species: a review. **Veterinary Clinical Pathology**, Baton Rouge, v. 31, n. 3, p. 140–151, 2002.

HOCHLEITHNER, M. Biochemistries. *In*: RITCHIE, B. W. *et al.* **Avian medicine: principles and application**. Lake Worth: Wingers Publishing, 1994. p. 176-198.

HUERGA, D.; POPPER, H. Factors influencing choline absorption in the intestinal tract. **Journal of Clinical Investigation**, New Haven, v. 31, p. 598-603, 1952.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE. **Dietary reference intakes for thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin B6, folate, vitamin B12, pantothenic acid, biotin, and choline**. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 1998. 592 p.

JAENSCH, S. Diagnosis of avian hepatic disease. **Seminars in Avian and Exotic Pet Medicine**, Philadelphia, v. 9, n. 3, p. 126-135, 2000.

JAYASEKHAR, P.; MOHANAN, P. V.; RATHINAM, K. Hepatoprotective activity of ethyl acetate extract of *Acacia catechu*. **Indian Journal of Pharmacology**, Mumbai, v. 29, n. 6, p. 426-428, 1997.

JOLY, A. B. **Botânica: introdução à taxonomia vegetal**. 12. ed. São Paulo: Nacional, 1998.

JULIAN, R. J. Production and growth-related disorders and other metabolic diseases of poultry: a review. **Veterinary Journal**, London, v. 169, n. 3, p. 350-369, 2005.

KAMBIZI, L.; AFOLAYAN, A. J. An ethnobotanical study of plants for the treatment of sexually transmitted disease (njovera). **Journal of Ethnopharmacology**, Limerick, v. 77, p. 5-9, 2001.

KANEKO, J. J.; HARVEY, J. W.; BRUSS, M. L. **Clinical biochemistry of domestic animals**. 6th ed. Waltham: Academic Press, 2008. 928 p.

KHAN, R. U. *et al.* The use of Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) in poultry feed. **World's Poultry Science Journal**, Ithaca, v. 68, n. 1, p. 97-103, 2012.

KHOSE, K. S. *et al.* Replacement of synthetic choline chloride by herbal choline in diets on liver function enzymes, carcass, and economics of broilers. **Journal of Animal Research**, Karnal, v. 9, n. 1, p. 87-93, 2019.

KHOSE, K. *et al.* Efficacy of herbal choline as a replacement of synthetic choline chloride in diets on growth performance of broilers. **International Journal of Livestock Research**, Rishikesh, v. 8, n. 5, p. 313-322, 2018.

KROENING, G. H.; POND, W. G. Methionine, choline and threonine interrelationships for growth and lipotropic action in the baby pig and rat. **Journal of Animal Science**, Champaign, v. 26, p. 352-357, 1967.

LI, Z.; VANCE, D. E. Phosphatidylcholine, and choline homeostasis. **Journal of Lipid Research**, Bethesda, v. 49, n. 6, p. 1187-1194, 2008.

MCDOWELL, L. R. **Vitamins in animal and human nutrition**. 2nd ed. Ames: Academic Press, 2000.

MCDOWELL, L. R. Choline. *In*: MCDOWELL, L. R. **Vitamins in animal nutrition**: comparative aspects to human nutrition. San Diego: Academic Press, 1989. Cap. 14, p. 347-364.

MENTEN, J. F. M.; PESTI, G. M.; BAKALLI, R. I. A new method for determining the availability of choline in soybean meal. **Poultry Science**, Champaign, v. 76, n. 9, p. 1292-1297, 1997.

MOLITORIS, B. A.; BAKER, D. H. Choline utilization in the chick as influenced by levels of dietary protein and methionine. **Journal Nutrition**, Bethesda, v. 106, p. 412-418, 1976.

MUSTAFA, N. K.; TANIRA, M. O. M.; NSANZE, H. Antimicrobial activity of *Acacia nilótica* subsp. nilótica fruit extracts. **Pharmacy and Pharmacology Communications**, London, v. 5, n. 9, p. 583-586, 1999.

NABI, A. B. D. Antimicrobial activity of *Acacia nilotica* (L) Willd ex Del var. *Nilotica* (Mimosaceae). **Journal of Ethnopharmacology**, Limerick, v. 37, n. 1, p. 77-79, 1992.

NARAYANANKUTTY, A. *et al.* Virgin coconut oil reverses hepatic steatosis by restoring redox homeostasis and lipid metabolism in male wistar rats. **Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture**, London, v. 98 p. 1757-1764, 2018.

NASCIMENTO, R. C. **Avaliação de fonte herbal de colina como alternativa ao cloreto de colina na dieta para cães**. 2020. Dissertação (Mestrado) - Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia, Setor de Ciências Agrárias, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, 2020.

NRC - NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL. **Nutrient requirements of poultry**. 9th rev. ed. Washington, DC: National Academic Press, 1994.

OSAWA, T. *et al.* Anti-oxidative activity of tetrahydrocurcuminoids. **Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry**, Tokyo, v. 59, n. 9, p. 1609-161, 1995.

PESTI, G. Factors influencing the assessment of the availability of choline in feedstuffs. **Poultry Science**, Champaign v. 60, n. 1, p. 188-196, 1981.

POMPEU, M. A. *et al.* de colina em dietas para frangos de corte machos em fase de crescimento. **Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia**, Belo Horizonte, v. 65, n. 6, p. 1836-1842, 2013.

RADWAN, N. *et al.* Effect of natural antioxidant on oxidative stability of eggs and productive and reproductive performance of laying hens. **International Journal of Poultry Science**, Faisalabad, v. 7, p. 134-150, 2008.

ROSTAGNO, H. S. *et al.* **Tabelas brasileiras para aves e suínos: composição de alimentos e exigências nutricionais**. 4. ed. Viçosa, MG: UFV, 2017.

SANTIAGO, G. S. *et al.* Dietary choline affects field performance and broiler leg deviations. **Livestock Science**, Amsterdam, v. 240, p. 1871-1413, 2020.

SANTOS, J. L.; PEREIRA, M. M. Utilização de colina em dietas para monogástricos. **PUBVET**, Londrina, v. 4, n. 1, [art.] 716, [p. 1-16], 2010.

SEIGLER, D. S. Phytochemistry of *Acacia sensulato*. **Biochemical Systematics and Ecology**, Amsterdam, v. 31, n. 8, p. 845–873, 2003.

SELVAM, R. *et al.* Evaluation of polyherbal formulation and synthetic choline chloride on choline deficiency model in broilers: implications on zootechnical parameters, serum biochemistry and liver histopathology. **Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences**, Seoul, v. 31, n. 11, p. 1795-1806, 2018.

SHARMA, A.; RANJAN, S. Effect of herbal and chemically synthetic choline on physio-biochemical characteristic of chicks. **Journal of Global Biosciences**, Singapore, v. 4, n. 6, p. 2537-2542, 2015.

SOKUNBI, A. E.; YUSUF, A. O.; ABIONA, A. J. Impact of dietary supplementation of choline on blood biochemistry indices and lipid profile of broiler chickens (Cobb 500). **Comparative Clinical Pathology**, London, [p. 1-8], 23 Oct. 2023. Disponível em: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00580-023-03531-9>. Acesso em: 23 out 2023.

SOTOHY, S. A.; ISMAIL, A. A.; MUELLER, W. Further studies on the antimicrobial properties of some plant materials in relation to their tannin content. *In*: SCIENTIFIC CONGRESS EGYPTIAN SOCIETY FOR CATTLE DISEASES, 3., 1995, Assiut, Egyptian. **Proceedings of the [...]**. [Assiut: Assiut University], 1995. v. 1, p. 1-3.

SWENSON, M. J.; REECE, W. O. **Fisiologia dos animais domésticos**. 11. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan, 1996. 856 p.

TELANG, N. T.; KOTHARI, R. M. Aspartate aminotransferase activity during early development of chicken embryo. **Differentiation**, London, v. 4, p. 61-64, 1975.

UELAND, P. M. Choline and betaine in health and disease. **Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease**, Dordrecht, v. 34, n. 1, p. 3-15, 2011.

WALDROUP, P. W.; MOTL, M. A.; YAN, F. Effect of betaine and choline on response to methionine supplementation to broiler diets formulated to industry

standards. **Journal of Applied Poultry Research**, Champaign, v. 15, p. 58-71, 2006.

WASSEL, G. M. *et al.* Phytochemical examination and biological studies of *Acacia nilotica* L. Willd and *Acacia farnesiana* L. in Egypt. **Egyptian Journal of Pharmaceutica Sciences**, Cairo, v. 33, n. 1/2, p. 327-340, 1992.

WATANABE, M. *et al.* Bile acids induce energy expenditure by promoting intracellular thyroid hormone activation. **Nature**, London, v. 439, n. 7075, p. 484-489, 2006.

WAUBEN, P. M.; WAINWRIGHT, P. E. The influence of neonatal nutrition on behavioral development: a critical appraisal. **Nutrition Reviews**, Washington, DC, v. 57, p. 35-44, 1999.

YAO, Z.; VANCE, D. E. The active synthesis of phosphatidylcholine is required for very low-density lipoprotein secretion from rat hepatocy. **Journal of Biological Chemistry**, Baltimore, v. 263, p. 2998-3004, 1988.

ZEISEL, S. H. A. brief history of choline. **Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism**, Basel, v. 61, n. 3, p. 254-258, 2012.

ZEISEL, S. H. Dietary choline: biochemistry, physiology, and pharmacology. **Annual Review of Nutrition**, Palo Alto, v. 1, p. 95-121, 1981.

ZEISEL, S. H. *et al.* Concentrations of choline-containing compounds and betaine in common foods. **Journal of Nutrition**, Bethesda, v. 133, p. 1302-1307, 2003.

ZEISEL, S. H.; COSTA, K. A. Choline: an essential nutrient for public health. **Nutrition Reviews**, Washington, DC, v. 67, n. 11, p. 615-623, 2009.

## 6. APÊNDICES

**Apêndice 1:** Normas para publicação de artigos no periódico Journal of Applied Poultry Research

### **Journal Applied Poultry Research Guide to Authors<sup>1</sup>**

#### **SCOPE AND GENERAL INFORMATION**

##### **Aims and scope.**

The Journal of Applied Poultry Research (JAPR) publishes original research reports, field reports, and reviews on breeding, hatching, health and disease, layer management, meat bird processing and products, meat bird management, microbiology, food safety, nutrition, environment, sanitation, welfare, and economics. JAPR is an Open Access journal with no subscription charges, meaning authors who publish here can make their research immediately, permanently, and freely accessible worldwide while retaining copyright to their work.

The readers of JAPR are in education, extension, industry, and government, including research, teaching, administration, veterinary medicine, management, production, quality assurance, product development, and technical services. Nutritionists, breeder flock supervisors, production managers, microbiologists, laboratory personnel, food safety and sanitation managers, poultry processing managers, feed manufacturers, and egg producers use JAPR to keep up with current applied poultry research.

#### **SUBMISSION**

All manuscripts are submitted and reviewed via the journal's Editorial Manager manuscripts submission site at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/japr/default.aspx>. New authors should create an account prior to submitting a manuscript for consideration. The submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer review process. Editable files (e.g., Word) are required to

typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

### **Submission Checklist**

You can use this list to carry out a final check of your submission before you send it to the journal for review. Please check the relevant section in this Guide for Authors for more details. Ensure that the following items are present: One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details (please note that co-corresponding authors are not allowed):

- E-mail address
- Full postal address
- All necessary files have been uploaded: Manuscript:
- Include keywords
- All tables (including titles, description, footnotes)
- Figure legends
- Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
- Ethical treatment of animals statement

Figure files (figures must be uploaded as separate files, not embedded in the manuscript) Supplemental files (where applicable)

Conflict of Interest statement

Further considerations

- Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked'
- All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa
- Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet)
- A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare
- Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed
- Referee suggestions and contact details provided, based on journal requirements

For further information, visit our Support Center.

### **Submission declaration and verification**

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see 'Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright holder.

To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service Crossref Similarity Check.

## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

For information on the scientific content of the journal, contact the editor-in-chief, Dr. Brian

Fairchild, University of Georgia, Department of Poultry Science; e-mail: [brian.fairchild@uga.edu](mailto:brian.fairchild@uga.edu).

For assistance with Editorial Manager manuscripts and manuscript submission, contact David Busboom at [david.busboom@poultryscience.org](mailto:david.busboom@poultryscience.org).

For all other inquiries, visit the Elsevier Support Center. Here you will find everything from Frequently Asked Questions to ways to get in touch.

You can also check the status of your submitted article or find out when your accepted article will be published.

## **TYPES OF ARTICLES**

### **Research reports**

Most papers published in JAPR are research reports. The journal emphasizes the importance of good scientific writing and clarity in presentation of the concepts, apparatus, and sufficient background information that would be required for thorough understanding by scientists in other disciplines. The results of experiments published in JAPR must be replicated, either by replicating treatments within experiments or by repeating experiments.

### **Field reports**



Field reports will be published when adequate background is available and conclusions can be supported by quantifiable laboratory or diagnostic results. The manuscript should follow the format outlined in the Style and Form section of this document. It should include a section titled Field Report in which the observations are explained and discussed under subheadings of Materials and Methods and Results and Discussion. Authors are encouraged to include subheadings for all major areas in this section.

### **Applied research notes**

Applied Research Notes report the results of complete experiments but are less comprehensive than full-length articles. These short papers may convey case reports, preliminary, or final data fulfilling one or more of the following criteria: a single experiment, low sample numbers, or limited replication. Manuscripts should be prepared according to the guidelines for full-length articles. The title of an Applied Research Note must begin with the words "Applied Research Note:". The running head shall be "APPLIED RESEARCH NOTE." Results and Discussion should be a unified section with concise data interpretation. A conclusions heading is not permitted. Supplementary data are not permitted. These papers are limited to: 1) 3,000 words or approximately nine typed, double-spaced pages; 2) two tables or figures or one of each; and 3) maximum ten (10) references. Authors must also indicate the section under which the manuscript is to be reviewed on the manuscript title page and on the Manuscript Submission Form. Editors may request that submitted full-length papers be revised for publication as Applied Research Notes.

### **Review articles**

Articles submitted to this section may cover new developments in a field, describe the evolution of a currently accepted management practice, propose changes in management based on current research, or describe procedures. Clear distinctions should be made between firmly established practices and unresolved questions. Articles should begin with a concise description of the topic, followed by a critical evaluation of the important references. Review articles, whether solicited or unsolicited, will be subject to a stringent review process. Review articles should follow the general format outlined in the Style and Form, when

appropriate, and include brief subheadings to separate main ideas. A Conclusions and Applications section should be included in most cases.

### **Symposium and workshop articles**

Manuscripts presented at the annual meeting as part of a symposium or workshop may be submitted with prior agreement by the editor-in-chief. These submissions will be subject to peer review and may be accepted or rejected in the same manner as other submissions. The format may be similar to reviews, research reports, or field reports, as outlined in the Style and Form. Please see the full guidelines here.

### **Letters and commentaries**

The journal accepts letters, book reviews, and other free-form communications (used to correct errors, provide clarification, or offer other points of view on pertinent issues). Submissions may be edited in consultation with the author.

## **JOURNAL POLICIES**

### **PEER REVIEW PROCESS**

This journal operates a single blind review process. All contributions will be initially assessed by the editor for suitability for the journal. Papers deemed suitable are then sent to a minimum of two independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper, frequently under the direction of a section editor with expertise in the manuscript topic. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. For more information on the types of peer review, please visit: <https://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/peerreview>.

All submissions to the journal are initially reviewed by the editorial office. At this stage, manuscripts may be rejected without peer review if it is felt that they are not relevant to the journal's scope or do not conform to manuscript formatting requirements. This fast rejection process means that authors are given a quick decision and do not need to wait for the review process.

Manuscripts that pass initial screening will be forwarded to the appropriate section editor. The section editor may suggest rejection based on fatal design flaw, inappropriate replications, lack of novelty, or other major concerns. If appropriate, the paper will be sent out for peer review, usually to 2 independent reviewers who will provide comments. The section editor may recommend rejection or acceptance at this point, after which the manuscript and reviewer comments are made available to the editor-in-chief for a final decision to the authors. The manuscript will be sent back to the corresponding author for revision according to the guidelines of the reviewers. Authors have 30 days to complete the revision, which shall be returned to the section editor. Failure to return the manuscript within 30 days will lock the author out of re-submitting the revision. Rejected manuscripts can be resubmitted only with an invitation from the section editor or editor-in-chief. Revised versions of previously rejected manuscripts are treated as new submissions.

#### **PRE-SUBMISSION LANGUAGE EDITING**

Please write your text in good English (American usage). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the English Language Editing service available from Elsevier's Author Services.

#### **POST-PRODUCTION CORRECTIONS**

No correction to a paper already published will be carried out without an erratum or corrigendum (as applicable), this applies to articles in press and published within an issue. This means that any change carried out to a paper already published online will have a corresponding erratum or corrigendum published with its own separate DOI. Whether as an article in press or in an issue, if an erratum or corrigendum is published, the online version of the original paper will also be corrected online and the correction notice will mention this. Corrections will only be made if the publication record is seriously affected by the academic accuracy of published information.

Authors' corrections to Supplementary Data are made only in exceptional circumstances (for example major errors that compromise the conclusion of the

study). Because the Supplementary Data is part of the original paper and hence the published record, the information cannot be updated if new data have become available or interpretations have changed.

## **ETHICS**

Please see our information pages on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication.

## **CARE AND USE OF ANIMALS**

Authors must make it clear that experiments were conducted in a manner that avoided unnecessary discomfort to the animals by the use of proper management and laboratory techniques. Experiments shall be conducted in accordance with the principles and specific guidelines presented in Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Research and Teaching, 4th edition, 2020 (found here; and, if applicable, Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (United States Department of Human Health and Services, National Institutes of Health, Publication Number ISBN 0-309-05377-3, 1996); or Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals, 2nd ed. Volume 1, 1993 (Canadian Council on Animal Care). Methods of killing experimental animals must be described in the text. In describing surgical procedures, the type and dosage of the anesthetic agent must be specified. Intra-abdominal and intrathoracic invasive surgery requires anesthesia. This includes caponization. The editor-in-chief may refuse to publish manuscripts that are not compatible with these guides. If rejected solely on that basis, however, the paper may be resubmitted for reconsideration when accompanied by a written verification that a committee on animal care in research has approved the experimental design and procedures involved.

## **THIRD PARTY COPYRIGHT**

As a general rule, permission should be sought from the rights holder to reproduce any substantial part of a copyrighted work. This includes any text, illustrations, charts, tables, photographs, or other material from previously published sources. For more information on third party permissions, visit <https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/copyright/permissions>.

## **DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST**

Corresponding authors, on behalf of all the authors of a submission, must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential conflicts of interest include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. All authors, including those without competing interests to declare, should provide the relevant information to the corresponding author (which, where relevant, may specify they have nothing to declare). Corresponding authors should then use this tool to create a shared statement and upload to the submission system at the Attach Files step. Please do not convert the .docx template to another file type. Author signatures are not required.

## **Preprints**

The journal will not accept articles posted to preprint servers prior to, or during peer review, for consideration for publication.

## **ROLE OF THE FUNDING SOURCE**

You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement then this should be stated.

## **Funding body agreements and policies**

Elsevier has established a number of agreements with funding bodies which allow authors to comply with their funder's open access policies. Some funding bodies will reimburse the author for the gold open access publication fee. Details of existing agreements are available online. After acceptance, open access papers will be published under a noncommercial license. For authors requiring a commercial CC BY license, you can apply after your manuscript is accepted for publication.

### **Formatting of funding sources**

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements: Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa]. It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organization that provided the funding. If no funding has been provided for the research, please include the following sentence: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### **Elsevier Researcher Academy**

Researcher Academy is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

### **COPYRIGHT**

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (see more information on this). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement.

### **Author Rights**

As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. More information.

Elsevier supports responsible sharing

Find out how you can share your research published in Elsevier journals.

## **OPEN ACCESS**

This is an open access journal: all articles will be immediately and permanently free for everyone to read and download. To provide open access, this journal has an open access fee (also known as an article publishing charge APC) which needs to be paid by the authors or on their behalf e.g. by their research funder or institution. Permitted third party (re)use is defined by the following Creative Commons user licenses: Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY): lets others distribute and copy the article, to create extracts, abstracts, and other revised versions, adaptations or derivative works of or from an article (such as a translation), to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), to text or data mine the article, even for commercial purposes, as long as they credit the author(s), do not represent the author as endorsing their adaptation of the article, and do not modify the article in such a way as to damage the author's honor or reputation.

Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs (CC BY-NC-ND): for noncommercial purposes, lets others distribute and copy the article, and to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), as long as they credit the author(s) and provided they do not alter or modify the article.

### **Open access fee**

The open access publication fee for this journal for research articles and review articles is USD 2000, excluding taxes. The open access publication fee is USD 1500 if the corresponding author is a member of the Poultry Science Association. The open access publication fee for applied research notes is USD 1000, excluding taxes, or USD 750, excluding taxes, if the corresponding author is a member of Poultry Science Association. (Note: The corresponding author must be a Poultry Science Association member before the article is accepted for the discount to apply.) Learn more about Elsevier's pricing policy: <https://www.elsevier.com/openaccesspricing>.

Shortly after acceptance, the corresponding author will be contacted regarding license options, funding information, and payment of the Open Access fee.

## **PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPT MANUSCRIPT FORMATTING**

### **General**

Papers must be written in English. The text and all supporting materials must use American spelling and usage as given in The American Heritage Dictionary, Webster's Third New International Dictionary, or the Oxford American English Dictionary. Authors should follow the style and form recommended in Scientific Style and Format: The CSE Manual for Authors, Editors, and Publishers. 2006. 7th ed. Style Manual Committee, Council of Science Editors, Reston, VA.

### **Preparing the manuscript file**

Manuscripts should be typed double-spaced, with lines and pages numbered consecutively, using Times New Roman font at 12 points. All special characters (e.g., Greek, math, symbols) should be inserted using the symbols palette available in this font. Please submit math equations as editable text and not as images. Tables and figure legends should be placed in a separate section at the end of the manuscript (not placed within the text). Figure files should be uploaded as separate files (not embedded in the manuscript).

### **Use of word-processing software:**

It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the word processor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the word processor's options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the Guide to Publishing with Elsevier). Note that source files of figures, tables and text



graphics will be required whether or not you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors, you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

## **Headings**

### Major headings

Major headings are centered, boldface, in all capital letters, and consist of SUMMARY, DESCRIPTION OF PROBLEM, MATERIALS AND METHODS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND APPLICATIONS, and REFERENCES.

Major headings in review articles, field reports, and symposium articles may vary from those listed here, but should include SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND APPLICATIONS, and REFERENCES.

### First subheadings

First subheadings are placed on a separate line, begin at the left margin, the first letter of all important words is capitalized, and the headings are boldface and italic. Text that follows a first subheading should be in a new paragraph.

### Second subheadings

Second subheadings begin the first line of a paragraph. They are indented, boldface, italic, and followed by a period. The first letter of each important word should be capitalized. The text follows immediately after the final period of the subheading.

## **TITLE PAGE**

The title should be indicative of the content. It should capture the interest of all who might benefit from information in the manuscript. However, the length of the title should be kept to a minimum. The running title should be 30 characters or less, including spaces.

Address and affiliation of authors (listed by first name or initials, middle initial, and last name) should be included. Indicate to whom correspondence should be directed by means of a footnote, with the notation "Corresponding author: (e-mail

address)" at the bottom of the title page. Note: JAPR allows a single corresponding author; co-corresponding authors are not permitted. In some instances, the first two authors of a manuscript may be designated as equal contributors. However, the corresponding author should be prepared to justify such designation, if asked by the editorial staff. More than two equal contributors is not permitted under any circumstance.

List 3 to 8 key words or phrases to identify the most important subjects covered by the paper.

Statement of primary audience. To determine appropriateness for the journal and to assist in selecting reviewers, the author should indicate clearly what sector(s) within the poultry community (e.g., flock supervisors, nutritionists, quality assurance personnel, researchers, plant managers, veterinarians) could benefit most from the content of this article.

#### Changes to authorship

Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed. Once a paper reaches the proof stage, no changes to the author list are permitted.

## **ARTICLE STRUCTURE**

### **Summary**

The Summary (maximum of 250 words) is not an abstract. It is intended to give readers with diverse backgrounds a general appreciation of the manuscript contents. It should be written so that even those not directly interested in the topic will enjoy reading at least this section to keep abreast of areas other than their own. This section should not include details of materials and methods or a

detailed review of the results. Keep the summary free-flowing, giving the reader a general, not specific, idea of what the study revealed. Do not include reference citations in the summary.

### **Description of problem**

This section will acquaint the reader with the problem, citing field experiences where appropriate. Readability is of utmost importance. Detailed literature reviews may not be appropriate for this section. A more extensive citation of references should be included in the Results and Discussion or References section. This section should end with a statement of the objective(s) of the study.

### **Materials and methods**

All sources of products, equipment, and chemicals used in the experiments must be specified parenthetically at first mention in text, tables, and figures [i.e., (model 123, ABC Corp., Provo, UT)]. Model and catalog numbers should be included. Information shall include the full corporate name (including division, branch, or other subordinate part of the corporation, if applicable), city, and state (country if outside the United States), or Web address. Street addresses need not be given unless the reader would not be able to determine the full address for mailing purposes easily by consulting standard references.

### **Results and discussion**

This section begins with observed results and their interpretation. Descriptive subheadings may precede all major paragraphs and changes in subject emphasis. This section should discuss specifically how findings address the problem described in the Description of Problem section and how they are related to published works.

Statements regarding statistically significant differences between treatments in results should be included in the text, tables, and figures. Statements regarding differences should be avoided unless they are supported by statistical analyses and meet the stated level of probability (e.g.,  $P < 0.05$ ).

### **Conclusion and applications**

Conclusions and recommendations of the author(s) should be listed numerically. Each statement should be clear, concise, and without discussion. Authors are

encouraged to summarize their significant findings, to identify further research needs, and to describe the constraints, economics, and other factors associated with using the results in scientific or commercial applications. Do not include references in this section.

## References

### References

#### Citations in text

In the body of the manuscript, refer to authors as follows: Smith and Jones (1992) or Smith and Jones (1990, 1992). If the sentence structure requires that the authors' names be included in parentheses, the proper format is (Smith and Jones, 1982; Jones, 1988a, b; Jones et al., 1993). Where there are more than two authors of one article, the first author's name is followed by the abbreviation et al. More than one article listed in the same sentence of text must be in chronological order first, and alphabetical order for two publications in the same year. Work that has not been accepted for publication shall be listed in the text as: "J. E. Jones (institution, city, and state, personal communication)." The author's own unpublished work should be listed in the text as "(J. Smith, unpublished data)." Personal communications and unpublished data must not be included in the References section.

#### References section

To be listed in the References section, papers must be published or accepted for publication. Manuscripts submitted for publication can be cited as "personal communication" or "unpublished data" in the text.

In the References section, references shall first be listed alphabetically by author(s)' last name(s), and then chronologically. The year of publication follows the authors' names. As with text citations, two or more publications by the same author or set of authors in the same year shall be differentiated by adding lowercase letters after the date. The dates for papers with the same first author that would be abbreviated in the text as et al., even though the second and subsequent authors differ, shall also be differentiated by letters. All authors' names must appear in the Reference section. Journals shall be abbreviated

according to the conventional ISO abbreviations given in journals database of the National Library of Medicine. One-word titles must be spelled out. Inclusive page numbers must be provided. Sample references are given below.

**N.B. - The online version of JAPR uses a reference format that differs from that prescribed by the journal. The Guide for Authors is the sole source for the reference format. Any papers that do not follow this format risk rejection.**

Article:

Bagley, L. G., and V. L. Christensen. 1991. Hatchability and physiology of turkey embryos incubated at sea level with increased eggshell permeability. *Poult. Sci.* 70:1412-1418. Bagley, L. G., V. L. Christensen, and R. P. Gildersleeve. 1990. Hematological indices of turkey embryos incubated at high altitude as affected by oxygen and shell permeability. *Poult. Sci.* 69:2035- 2039. Witter, R. L., and I. M. Gimeno. 2006. Susceptibility of adult chickens, with and without prior vaccination, to challenge with Marek's disease virus. *Avian Dis.* 50:354-365. doi:10.1637/7498-010306R.1

Book: Metcalfe, J., M. K. Stock, and R. L. Ingermann. 1984. The effects of oxygen on growth and development of the chick embryo. Pages 205- 219 in *Respiration and Metabolism of Embryonic Vertebrates*. R. S. Seymour, ed. Dr. W. Junk, Dordrecht, the Netherlands.

National Research Council. 1994. *Nutrient Requirements of Poultry*. 9th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.

Federal Register:

Department of Agriculture, Plant and Animal Health Inspection Service. 2004. Blood and tissue collection at slaughtering and rendering establishments, final rule. 9CFR part 71. *Fed. Regis.* 69:10137-10151.

Other:

Choct, M., and R. J. Hughes. 1996. Long-chain hydrocarbons as a marker for digestibility studies in poultry. *Proc. Aust. Poult. Sci. Symp.* 8:186. (Abstr.)

Dyro, F. M. 2005. Arsenic. WebMD. Accessed Feb. 2006. <http://www.emedicine.com/neuro/topic20.htm>.

El Halawani, M. E., and I. Rosenboim. 2004. Method to enhance reproductive performance in poultry. Univ. Minnesota, as- signee. US Pat. No. 6,766,767.

Hruby, M., J. C. Remus, and E. E. M. Pierson. 2004. Nutritional strategies to meet the challenge of feeding poultry without antibiotic growth promotants. Proc. 2nd Mid-Atlantic Nutr. Conf., Timonium, MD. Univ. Maryland, College Park.

Luzuriaga, D. A. 1999. Application of computer vision and electronic nose technologies for quality assessment of color and odor of shrimp and salmon. PhD Diss. Univ. Florida, Gainesville.

Peak, S. D., and J. Brake. 2000. The influence of feeding program on broiler breeder male mortality. *Poult. Sci.* 79 (Suppl. 1):2. (Abstr.)

## **TABLES**

Tables must be created using the MS Word table feature and inserted in the manuscript after the references section. When possible, tables should be organized to fit across the page without running broadside. Be aware of the dimensions of the printed page when planning tables (use of more than 15 columns will create layout problems). Place the table number and title on the same line above the table. The table title does not require a period. Do not use vertical lines and use few horizontal lines. Use of bold and italic typefaces in the table should be done sparingly; you must define such use in a footnote. Each table must be on a separate page. To facilitate placement of all tables into the manuscript file (just after the references) authors should use "section breaks" rather than "page breaks" at the end of the manuscript (before the tables) and between tables.

Units of measure for each variable must be indicated. Papers with several tables must use consistent format. All columns must have appropriate headings. Abbreviations not found on the inside front cover of the journal must be defined in each table and must match those used in the text. Footnotes to tables should be marked by superscript numbers. Each footnote should begin a new line. Superscript letters shall be used for the separation of means in the body of the table and explanatory footnotes must be provided [i.e., "Means within a row lacking a common superscript differ ( $P < 0.05$ )."]; other significant P-values may

be specified. Comparison of means within rows and columns should be indicated by different series of superscripts (e.g., a,b, . . . in rows; x-z . . . in columns) The first alphabetical letter in the series (e.g., a or A) shall be used to indicate the largest mean. Lowercase super- scripts indicate  $P \leq 0.05$ . Uppercase letters indicate  $P \leq 0.01$  or less.

Probability values may be indicated as follows: \* $P \leq 0.05$ , \*\* $P \leq 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P \leq 0.001$ , and † $P \leq 0.10$ . Consult a recent issue of the journal for examples of tables.

Generally, results should be presented to the significant figure of the instrument used to collect the data. For example, results should not be presented to 5 digits when the instrument used only reads to 2 digits.

## **MISCELLANEOUS USAGE NOTES**

### **Abbreviations**

The following abbreviations may be used without definition in JAPR. Plurals do not require "s." Chemical symbols and 3-letter abbreviations for amino acids do not need definition. Other abbreviations should be defined at first use in the summary and the main text, as well as in each table or figure in which they appear. Author-defined abbreviations are boldface at first use in the main text. Abbreviations should not be used in the manuscript title, running title, or to begin a paragraph or sentence. They can be used in section headings if previously defined. This list appears inside the back cover of each issue of the journal.

The following abbreviations may be used without definition in JAPR:

ADF acid detergent fiber

ADFI average daily feed intake

ADG average daily gain

AME apparent metabolizable energy

AMEn nitrogen-corrected apparent metabolizable energy

ANOVA analysis of variance AOAC Association of Official Analytical Chemists

BSA bovine serum albumin

BW body weight

°C Celsius

cDNA complementary DNA

CF crude fiber

cfu colony-forming units (following a numeral)  
CI confidence interval  
CP crude protein  
cpm counts per minute  
CV coefficient of variation  
d day  
df degrees of freedom  
DM dry matter  
DNA deoxyribonucleic acid  
EDTA ethylenediaminetetraacetate  
EE ether extract  
ELISA enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay  
°F Fahrenheit  
FCR feed conversion ratio  
FE feed efficiency  
ft foot  
g gram  
gal gallon  
G:F gain-to-feed ratio  
GLM general linear model  
h hour  
HEPES N-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid  
HPLC high-performance (high-pressure) liquid chromatography  
ICU international chick units  
Ig immunoglobulin  
IL interleukin  
i.m. intramuscular  
in. inch  
i.p. intraperitoneal  
IU international units  
i.v. intravenous  
kcal kilocalorie  
L liter (also capitalized with any combination, e.g., mL)  
lb pound



L:D hours of light:hours of darkness in a photoperiod

LSD least significant difference

m meter

$\mu$  micro

M molar

ME metabolizable energy

ME<sub>n</sub> nitrogen-corrected metabolizable energy

MHC major histocompatibility complex

mRNA messenger ribonucleic acid

min minute

mo month

MS mean squares

n number of observations

NADH reduced form of NAD

NDF neutral detergent fiber

NRC National Research Council

NS not significant

PBS phosphate-buffered saline

PCR polymerase chain reaction

mg/kg parts per million

r correlation coefficient

$r^2$  coefficient of determination, simple

$R^2$  coefficient of determination, multiple

RH relative humidity

RIA radioimmunoassay

RNA ribonucleic acid

rpm revolutions per minute

s second

SAS Statistical Analysis System

s.c. subcutaneous

SD standard deviation

SE standard error

SEM standard error of the mean

SNP single nucleotide polymorphism

SRBC sheep red blood cells  
TBA thiobarbituric acid  
T cell thymic-derived cell  
TME true metabolizable energy  
TMEn nitrogen-corrected true metabolizable energy  
TSAA total sulfur amino acids  
USDA United States Department of Agriculture  
UV ultraviolet  
vol/vol volume to volume  
vs. versus  
wt/vol weight to volume  
wt/wt weight to weight  
wk week  
yr year

## **FIGURES/ILLUSTRATIONS**

### **General points**

- Submit each illustration as a separate file.
- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.
- Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.
- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Provide captions to illustrations separately.
- Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the published version.

A detailed guide on electronic artwork is available.

You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

### **Formats**

If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format.

Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below): EPS (or PDF):

Vector drawings, embed all used fonts. TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi. TIFF (or JPEG): Bitmapped (pure black & white pixels) line drawings, keep to a minimum of 1000 dpi. TIFF (or JPEG): Combinations bitmapped line/half-tone (color or grayscale), keep to a minimum of 500 dpi.

Please do not:

- Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors;
- Supply files that are too low in resolution;
- Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.

### **Color artwork**

Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF), or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable color figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in color online (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) regardless of whether or not these illustrations are reproduced in color in the printed version. For color reproduction in print, you will receive information regarding the costs from Elsevier after receipt of your accepted article. Please indicate your preference for color: in print or online only. Further information on the preparation of electronic artwork.

### **Illustration services**

Elsevier's Author Services offers Illustration Services to authors preparing to submit a manuscript but concerned about the quality of the images accompanying their article. Elsevier's expert illustrators can produce scientific, technical and medical-style images, as well as a full range of charts, tables

and graphs. Image 'polishing' is also available, where our illustrators take your image(s) and improve them to a professional standard. Please visit the website to find out more.

### **Figure captions**

Ensure that each illustration has a caption. Supply captions separately, not attached to the figure. A caption should comprise a brief title (not on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

### **SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary material such as applications, images and sound clips, can be published with your article to enhance it. Submitted supplementary items are published exactly as they are received (Excel or PowerPoint files will appear as such online). Please submit your material together with the article and supply a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file. If you wish to make changes to supplementary material during any stage of the process, please make sure to provide an updated file. Do not annotate any corrections on a previous version. Please switch off the 'Track Changes' option in Microsoft Office files as these will appear in the published version.

Ensure that the supplementary material is referred to in the main manuscript at an appropriate point in the text. Supplementary material will be available online only and will not be copyedited, so ensure that it is clearly and succinctly presented, and that the style conforms to the rest of the paper. Also ensure that the presentation will work on any Internet browser. It is not recommended for the files to be more than 2 MB each, although exceptions can be made at the editorial office's discretion.

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPTS**

#### **PROOFS**

Corresponding authors will receive an e-mail with a link to their proof. Please annotate and upload your edits on the PDF version. All instructions for proofing will be given in the e-mail we send to authors.

We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately. Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication. Please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility.

### **ARTICLES IN PRESS**

For JAPR, manuscripts arrive at Elsevier and go through the production process until the final versions are ready to publish. These are then published on the journal's articles in press page. They will remain on the page up until the issue that they are assigned to is published.

### **OFFPRINTS**

The corresponding author will, at no cost, receive a customized Share Link providing 50 days free access to the final published version of the article on ScienceDirect. The Share Link can be used for sharing the article via any communication channel, including email and social media. For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication.

### **Reporting sex- and gender-based analyses**

#### **Reporting guidance**

For research involving or pertaining to humans, animals or eukaryotic cells, investigators should integrate sex and gender-based analyses (SGBA) into their research design according to funder/sponsor requirements and best practices within a field. Authors should address the sex and/or gender dimensions of their research in their article. In cases where they cannot, they should discuss this as a limitation to their research's generalizability. Importantly, authors should explicitly state what definitions of sex and/or gender they are applying to enhance the precision, rigor and reproducibility of their research and to avoid ambiguity or conflation of terms and the constructs to which they refer (see Definitions section

below). Authors can refer to the Sex and Gender Equity in Research (SAGER) guidelines and the SAGER guidelines checklist. These offer systematic approaches to the use and editorial review of sex and gender information in study design, data analysis, outcome reporting and research interpretation - however, please note there is no single, universally agreed-upon set of guidelines for defining sex and gender.

### **Definitions**

Sex generally refers to a set of biological attributes that are associated with physical and physiological features (e.g., chromosomal genotype, hormonal levels, internal and external anatomy). A binary sex categorization (male/female) is usually designated at birth ("sex assigned at birth"), most often based solely on the visible external anatomy of a newborn. Gender generally refers to socially constructed roles, behaviors, and identities of women, men and gender-diverse people that occur in a historical and cultural context and may vary across societies and over time. Gender influences how people view themselves and each other, how they behave and interact and how power is distributed in society. Sex and gender are often incorrectly portrayed as binary (female/male or woman/man) and unchanging whereas these constructs actually exist along a spectrum and include additional sex categorizations and gender identities such as people who are intersex/have differences of sex development (DSD) or identify as non-binary. Moreover, the terms "sex" and "gender" can be ambiguous—thus it is important for authors to define the manner in which they are used. In addition to this definition guidance and the SAGER guidelines, the resources on this page offer further insight around sex and gender in research studies.

### **Open access**

Please visit our Open Access page for more information.

## 7. VITA

Douglas Drebes Brunhaus Maria, filho de Marco Antônio Brunhaus Maria e Janice Drebes Maria, nascido em 24 de dezembro de 1993, em Porto Alegre – RS. Realizou o ensino fundamental e médio no Instituto Estadual de Educação Isabel de Espanha, concluindo os estudos em dezembro de 2011. Em 2012 ingressou no curso técnico em informática na QI faculdades, cursou três semestres, mas não concluiu. Em agosto de 2014 iniciou a graduação em Zootecnia na Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul. Fez parte do grupo de pesquisa Aviário de Ensino e Pesquisa, supervisionado pelo professor PhD. Sergio Luiz Vieira, desde agosto de 2014, totalizando 8 anos entre a graduação e o mestrado. No último semestre da faculdade, em 2020, foi estagiário na empresa Granja Santa Lívia, na cidade de Garibaldi – RS, tendo a oportunidade de conhecer a parte de produção de frangos de corte e na parte de coordenação de pesquisas científicas dentro da área de produção. Formou-se em janeiro de 2021. No primeiro semestre de 2021 ingressou como aluno de mestrado com dedicação exclusiva no Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia da UFRGS, sob orientação do professor Ph.D. Sergio Luiz Vieira. Além de ter se envolvido em diversos projetos de pesquisa ao longo do seu mestrado, teve a oportunidade de participar de eventos científicos nacionais e internacionais, onde em ambos realizou apresentações orais em inglês sobre trabalhos desenvolvidos no Aviário de Ensino e Pesquisa. No segundo semestre de 2022 realizou a troca de grau de mestrado para doutorado através de progressão, onde foi submetido à banca de defesa de Dissertação em 27 de novembro de 2023.